

**NUCLEIC ACID MOLECULES AND OTHER MOLECULES**  
**ASSOCIATED WITH STEROL SYNTHESIS AND METABOLISM**

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

This invention relates to the field of biotechnology, particularly as it pertains to the  
5 production of sterols in a variety of host systems particularly plants. More specifically, the  
invention relates to nucleic acid molecules encoding proteins and fragments of proteins asso-  
ciated with sterol and phytosterol metabolism as well as the encoded proteins and fragments  
of proteins and antibodies capable of binding to them. The invention also relates to methods  
of using the nucleic acid molecules, fragments of the nucleic acid molecules, proteins, and  
10 fragments of proteins. The invention also relates to cells, organisms, particularly plants, or  
seeds, or progeny of plants, that have been manipulated to contain increased levels or overex-  
press at least one sterol or phytosterol compound.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

20 Sterols are a class of essential, natural compounds required by all eukaryotes to com-  
plete their life cycle. The types of sterols produced and predominantly present within each of  
the phylogenetic kingdoms varies. Plants produce a class of sterols called phytosterols. A  
phytosterol called sitosterol predominates. In animals, cholesterol is typically the major  
sterol while in fungi it is ergosterol.

Phytosterols from plants possess a wide spectrum of biological activities in animals  
20 and humans. Phytosterols are considered efficacious cholesterol-lowering agents (Pelletier  
*et al.*, *Annals Nutrit. Metab.* 39:291-295 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated  
by reference). Lower cholesterol levels are linked to a reduction in the risk to cardiovascular  
disease. Phytosterols can also block cholesterol absorption in the intestine, which would also  
lead to lower cholesterol levels. Thus, enhancing the levels of phytosterols in edible plants  
25 and seeds, or products derived from these plants and seeds, may lead to food products with  
increased nutritive or therapeutic value.

In one aspect, this invention provides these desirable plants and seeds as well as  
methods to produce them. Since, as will be discussed below, the genetic manipulation made

possible by this invention involves families of related genes that cross phylogenetic boundaries, the effects are not limited to plants alone.

### Biochemistry of Sterol Synthesis

A number of the important sterol biosynthetic enzymes, reactions, and intermediates have been described. Sterol synthesis uses acetyl CoA as the basic carbon building block. Multiple acetyl CoA molecules form the five-carbon isoprene units, hence the name isoprenoid pathway. Enzymatic combination of isoprene units leads to the thirty-carbon squalene molecule, which is the penultimate precursor to sterols.

Throughout plants, animals, and fungus, the reactions proceed as: acetyl CoA → HMGCoA, mevalonate, mevalonate 5 phosphate, mevalonate 5-pyrophosphate, isopentyl diphosphate, 5-pyrophosphatemevalonate, isopentyl pyrophosphate (PIP), dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP), PIP + DMAPP, geranyl pyrophosphate + IPP, farnesyl pyrophosphate, 2 farnesyl pyrophosphate, squalene and squalene epoxide

From squalene epoxide, the sterol biosynthesis pathway of plants diverges from that of animals and fungi. In plants, cycloartenol is produced next by cyclization of squalene epoxide. The plant pathway eventually leads to the synthesis of the predominant phytosterol, sitosterol.

Animals go on to produce lanosterol from squalene epoxide, eventually leading to cholesterol, which is the precursor to steroid hormones and bile acids, among other compounds. In fungi, lanosterol leads to the production of the predominant sterol, ergosterol.

An important regulatory control step within the pathway consists of the HMGCoA → Mevalonate step, catalyzed by HMGCoA reductase, and the condensation of 2 farnesyl pyrophosphates → squalene, catalyzed by squalene synthase. An early, reported rate-limiting step, in the pathway is the HMGCoA reductase-catalyzed reaction.

A number of studies have focused on the regulation of HMGCoA reductase. HMGCoA reductase (EC 1.1.1.34) catalyzes the reductive conversion of HMGCoA to mevalonic acid (MVA). This reaction is the controlling step in isoprenoid biosynthesis. The enzyme is regulated by feedback mechanisms and by a system of activation kinases and phosphatases (Gray, *Adv. Bot. Res.*, 14: 25 (1987); Bach *et al.*, *Lipids*, 26: 637 (1991);

Stermer *et al.*, *J. Lipid Res.*, 35: 1133 (1994), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

Another important regulation occurs at the squalene synthase step. Squalene synthase (EC 2.5.1.21) reductively condenses two molecules of FPP in the presence of  $Mg^{2+}$  and NADPH to form squalene. The reaction involves a head-to-head condensation and forms a stable intermediate, presqualene diphosphate. The enzyme is subject to regulation similar to that of HMGCoA reductase and acts by balancing the incorporation of FPP into sterols and other compounds.

The sterol pathway of plants diverges from that in animals and fungi after squalene epoxide. In plants, the cyclization of squalene epoxide occurs next, under the regulated control of cycloartenol synthase (EC 5.4.99.8). The cyclization mechanism proceeds from the epoxy end into a chair-boat-chair-boat sequence that is mediated by a transient C-20 carbocationic intermediate. The reported rate-limiting step in plant sterol synthesis occurs in the next step, S-adenosyl-L-methionine:sterol C-24 methyl transferase (EC 2.1.1.41) (SMT<sub>I</sub>) catalyzing the transfer of a methyl group from a cofactor, S-adenosyl-L-methionine, to the C-24 center of the sterol side chain. This is the first of two methyl transfer reactions. The second methyl transfer reaction occurs further down in the pathway and has been reported to be catalyzed by SMT<sub>II</sub>. An isoform enzyme, SMT<sub>II</sub>, catalyzes the conversion of 24-methylene lophenol to 24-ethylidene lophenol (Fonteneau *et al.*, *Plant Sci Lett* 10:147-155(1977), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). The presence of two distinct SMTs in plants were further confirmed by cloning cDNAs code the enzymes from *Arabidopsis* (Husselstein *et al.*, *FEBS Lett* 381:87-92(1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), soybean (Shi *et al.*, *J Biol Chem* 271: 9384-9389(1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), maize (Greibenok *et al.*, *Plant Mol Biol* 34: 891-896(1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and tobacco (Bouvier-Nave *et al.*, *Eur J Biochem* 246: 518-529 (1997); Bouvier-Nave *et al.*, *Eur J Biochem* 256: 88-96(1998), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

Later in the pathway, a sterol C-14 demethylase catalyzes the demethylation at C-14, removing the methyl group and creating a double bond. Interestingly, this enzyme also occurs in plants and fungi, but at a different point in the pathway. Sterol C14-demethylation

is mediated by a cytochrome P-450 complex. A large family of enzymes utilize the cytochrome P-450 complex. There is, in addition, a family of cytochrome P450 complexes. Sterol C-22 desaturase (EC 2.7.3.9) catalyzes the formation of the double bond at C-22 on the side chain. The C-22 desaturase in yeast, which is the final step in the biosynthesis of ergosterol, contains a cytochrome P450 that is distinct from the cytochrome P450 participating in the demethylation reaction. Additional cytochrome P450 enzymes participate in brassinosteroid synthesis (Bishop, *Plant Cell* 8:959-969 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Brassinosteroids are steroidal compounds with plant growth regulatory properties, including modulation of cell expansion and photomorphogenesis (Arteca, *Plant Hormones, Physiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* ed. Davies, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 66 (1995), Yakota, *Trends in Plant Science* 2:137-143 (1997), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

One class of proteins, oxysterol-binding proteins, have been reported in humans and yeast (Jiang *et al.*, *Yeast* 10: 341-353 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). These proteins have been reported to modulate ergosterol levels in yeast (Jiang *et al.*, *Yeast* 10: 341-353 (1994)). In particular, Jiang *et al.*, reported three genes KES1, HES1 and OSH1, which encode proteins containing an oxysterol-binding region.

The present invention provides a gene, *hes1*, involved in plant phytosterol production. Expression of HES1 (protein) in organisms, such as plants, can increase phytosterol biosynthesis. The present invention also provides transgenic organisms expressing a HES1 protein, which can enhance food and feed sources.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention includes a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule that encodes a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 30.

The present invention includes a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule that specifically hybridizes to a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 or its complement, wherein the nucleic acid molecule encodes a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 30.

The present invention includes a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule that encodes a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 31.

The present invention includes a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule that specifically hybridizes to a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or its complement, wherein the nucleic acid molecule encodes a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 31.

The present invention includes a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule that encodes a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 32.

The present invention includes a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule that specifically hybridizes to a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 3 or its complement, wherein the nucleic acid molecule encodes a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 32.

The present invention includes a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule that encodes a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 33.

The present invention includes a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule that specifically hybridizes to a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 4 or its complement, wherein the nucleic acid molecule encodes a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 33.

The present invention includes a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleic acid sequence which encodes a plant HES1 protein.

The present invention includes an antibody capable of specifically binding a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 30.

The present invention includes an antibody capable of specifically binding a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 31.

The present invention includes an antibody capable of specifically binding a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 32.

The present invention includes an antibody capable of specifically binding a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 33.

The present invention includes a plant having a nucleic acid molecule which comprises: (A) a promoter region which functions in a plant cell to cause the production of a

mRNA molecule; (B) an exogenous structural nucleic acid molecule encoding a protein or fragment thereof comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34, and (C) a 3' non-translated sequence that functions in the plant cell to cause termination of transcription and addition of polyadenylated ribonucleotides to a 3' end of the mRNA molecule.

The present invention includes a transformed plant having a nucleic acid molecule which comprises: (A) an exogenous promoter region which functions in a plant cell to cause the production of a mRNA molecule; which is linked to (B) a transcribed nucleic acid molecule with a transcribed strand and a non-transcribed strand, wherein the transcribed strand is complementary to a nucleic acid molecule encoding a protein comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 30, 31, 32, 33, and 34, which is linked to (C) a 3' non-translated sequence that functions in plant cells to cause termination of transcription and addition of polyadenylated ribonucleotides to a 3' end of the mRNA molecule.

The present invention includes a plant having a nucleic acid molecule which comprises: (A) a promoter region which functions in a plant cell to cause the production of a mRNA molecule; (B) an exogenous structural nucleic acid molecule encoding a plant HES1 protein or fragment thereof, and (C) a 3' non-translated sequence that functions in the plant cell to cause termination of transcription and addition of polyadenylated ribonucleotides to a 3' end of the mRNA molecule.

The present invention includes a plant having a nucleic acid molecule which comprises: (A) a promoter region which functions in a plant cell to cause the production of a mRNA molecule; (B) an exogenous structural nucleic acid molecule encoding a HES1 protein or fragment thereof, and (C) a 3' non-translated sequence that functions in the plant cell to cause termination of transcription and addition of polyadenylated ribonucleotides to a 3' end of the mRNA molecule.

The present invention includes and provides a method of producing a plant containing an expressed HES1 protein or fragment thereof in a plant comprising: (A) transforming the plant with a functional nucleic acid molecule, wherein the functional nucleic acid molecule comprises a promoter region, wherein the promoter region is linked to a structural region,

wherein the structural region comprises a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a protein having an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34, wherein the structural region is linked to a 3' non-translated sequence that functions in the plant to cause termination of transcription and addition of polyadenylated ribonucleotides to a 3' end of a mRNA molecule; and wherein the functional nucleic acid molecule results in overexpression of the protein; and (B) growing the transformed plant.

The present invention includes and provides a method of producing a plant containing an expressed HES1 protein or fragment thereof in a plant comprising: (A) transforming the plant with a functional nucleic acid molecule, wherein the functional nucleic acid molecule comprises a promoter region, wherein the promoter region is linked to a structural region, wherein the structural region comprises a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a plant HES1 protein, wherein the structural region is linked to a 3' non-translated sequence that functions in the plant to cause termination of transcription and addition of polyadenylated ribonucleotides to a 3' end of a mRNA molecule; and wherein the functional nucleic acid molecule results in overexpression of the protein; and (B) growing the transformed plant.

The present invention includes and provides a method for reducing expression of a HES1 protein in a plant comprising: (A) transforming a plant with a nucleic acid molecule, the nucleic acid molecule having an exogenous promoter region which functions in plant cells to cause the production of a mRNA molecule, wherein the exogenous promoter region is linked to a transcribed nucleic acid molecule having a transcribed strand and a non-transcribed strand, wherein the transcribed strand is complementary to a nucleic acid molecule having a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29 or fragment thereof; and wherein the transcribed nucleic acid molecule is linked to a 3' non-translated sequence that functions in the plant cells to cause termination of transcription and addition of polyadenylated ribonucleotides to the 3' end of the mRNA sequence; and (B) growing the transformed plant.

The present invention includes and provides a method for screening for increased phytosterol levels in a plant comprising interrogating genomic DNA for the presence or absence of a marker molecule that specifically hybridizes to a nucleic acid molecule having a

nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29 or complements thereof; and detecting the presence or absence of the marker.

The present invention includes and provides a method for determining a genomic polymorphism in a plant that is predictive of increased phytosterol levels comprising the steps: (A) incubating a marker nucleic acid molecule, under conditions permitting nucleic acid hybridization, and a complementary nucleic acid molecule obtained from the plant, wherein the marker nucleic acid molecule specifically hybridizes to a nucleic acid molecule having a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID Nos: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29 or complements thereof; (B) permitting hybridization between the marker nucleic acid molecule and the complementary nucleic acid molecule obtained from the plant; and (C) detecting the presence of the polymorphism.

The present invention includes and provides a method for determining a level or pattern of HES1 expression in a plant comprising: (A) incubating under conditions permitting nucleic acid hybridization: a marker nucleic acid molecule, the marker nucleic acid molecule having a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID Nos: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29 or complements thereof, with a complementary nucleic acid molecule obtained from a plant cell or plant tissue, wherein nucleic acid hybridization between the marker nucleic acid molecule; (B) permitting hybridization between the marker nucleic acid molecule and the complementary nucleic acid molecule obtained from the plant; and (C) detecting the level or pattern of the complementary nucleic acid, wherein the detection of the complementary nucleic acid is predictive of the level or pattern of the HES1 protein.

The present invention includes and provides a method for determining a level or pattern of a HES1 in a plant cell or plant tissue under evaluation which comprises assaying the concentration of a molecule, whose concentration is dependent upon the expression of a gene, said gene having a nucleic acid sequence which specifically hybridizes to a nucleic acid molecule having a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID Nos: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and



29 or complements thereof, said molecule being present in the plant cell or plant tissue, in comparison to the concentration of that molecule present in a plant cell or plant tissue with a known level or pattern of said HES1 protein, wherein the assayed concentration of said molecule is compared to the assayed concentration of said molecule in the plant cell or plant tissue with a known level or pattern of said HES1 protein.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE NUCLEIC AND AMINO ACID SEQUENCES**

SEQ ID NO: 1 sets forth the nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 2 sets forth the nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 3 sets forth the nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 4 sets forth the nucleotide sequence of a maize HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 5 sets forth the nucleotide sequence of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* HES1 homolog;

SEQ ID NO: 6 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog;

SEQ ID NO: 7 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog;

SEQ ID NO: 8 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog;

SEQ ID NO: 9 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog;

SEQ ID NO: 10 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog. SEQ ID NO: 11 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 12 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog;

SEQ ID NO: 13 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog;

SEQ ID NO: 14 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog;

SEQ ID NO: 15 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog;

SEQ ID NO: 16 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog. SEQ ID NO: 17 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 18 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 19 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog.

5 SEQ ID NO: 20 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of a soybean HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 21 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* HES1 homolog;

10 SEQ ID NO: 22 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 23 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 24 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* HES1 homolog.

15 SEQ ID NO: 25 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 26 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* HES1 homolog.

20 SEQ ID NO: 27 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 28 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 29 sets forth the partial nucleotide sequence of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* HES1 homolog.

SEQ ID NO: 30 sets forth the amino acid sequences derived from a soybean HES1 gene.

5 SEQ ID NO: 31 sets forth the amino acid sequences derived from a soybean HES1 gene.

SEQ ID NO: 32 sets forth the amino acid sequences derived from a soybean HES1 gene.

SEQ ID NO: 33 sets forth the amino acid sequences derived from a maize HES1 gene.

10 SEQ ID NO: 34 sets forth the amino acid sequences derived from a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* HES1 gene.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

Utilizing a methodology that allows for the identification of genes that can influence phytosterol levels, plant HES1 genes were identified and isolated. HES1 are oxysterol-binding proteins. Overexpression of HES1 proteins in organisms can result in increased sterol levels in a variety of organisms. Moreover, the present invention provides a number of agents, for example, nucleic acid molecules encoding a plant HES1, and provides uses of such agents.

#### **Agents:**

20 The agents of the invention will preferably be "biologically active" with respect to either a structural attribute, such as the capacity of a nucleic acid to hybridize to another nucleic acid molecule, or the ability of a protein to be bound by an antibody (or to compete with another molecule for such binding). Alternatively, such an attribute may be catalytic and thus involve the capacity of the agent to mediate a chemical reaction or response. The agents will

25 preferably be "substantially purified." The term "substantially purified," as used herein, refers to a molecule separated from substantially all other molecules normally associated with it in its native state. More preferably a substantially purified molecule is the predominant species present in a preparation. A substantially purified molecule may be greater than 60% free, preferably 75% free, more preferably 90% free, and most preferably 95% free from the

other molecules (exclusive of solvent) present in the natural mixture. The term "substantially purified" is not intended to encompass molecules present in their native state.

The agents of the invention may also be recombinant. As used herein, the term recombinant means any agent (*e.g.*, DNA, peptide *etc.*), that is, or results, however indirect, from human manipulation of a nucleic acid molecule.

It is understood that the agents of the invention may be labeled with reagents that facilitate detection of the agent (*e.g.*, fluorescent labels, Prober *et al.*, *Science* 238:336-340 (1987); Albarella *et al.*, EP 144914; chemical labels, Sheldon *et al.*, U.S. Patent 4,582,789; Albarella *et al.*, U.S. Patent 4,563,417; modified bases, Miyoshi *et al.*, EP 119448).

#### (a) Nucleic Acid Molecules

Agents of the invention include nucleic acid molecules. In a preferred aspect of the present invention the nucleic acid molecule comprises a nucleic acid sequence which encodes a HES1 protein. In a preferred embodiment, the HES1 protein is derived from a plant. In another preferred embodiment, the HES1 protein is derived from a yeast. Examples of HES1 proteins are those encoded by a nucleic acid sequence having SEQ ID NO: 30, 31, 32, 33 or 34.

In another preferred embodiment, the nucleic molecule encodes a HES1 protein, preferably a yeast or plant HES1 protein comprising an oxysterol-binding protein consensus sequence -- E(K, Q) xSH (H, R) PPx (S, T, A, C, F)A

In another preferred aspect of the present invention the nucleic acid molecule comprises a nucleic acid sequence selected from SEQ ID NOs: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof or fragment of either. In another preferred aspect of the present invention the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention comprise nucleic acid sequences that encode a protein having an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 30, 31, 32, or 33 or fragment thereof.

It is understood that in a further aspect of the nucleic acid sequences of the present invention can encode a protein which differs from any of the proteins in that amino acid have been deleted, substituted or added without altering the function. For example, it is understood that codons capable of coding for such conservative amino acid substitutions are known in the art.

One subset of the nucleic acid molecules of the invention is fragment nucleic acids molecules. Fragment nucleic acid molecules may consist of significant portion(s) of, or indeed most of, the nucleic acid molecules of the invention, such as those specifically disclosed. Alternatively, the fragments may comprise smaller oligonucleotides (having from  
5 about 15 to about 400 nucleotide residues and more preferably, about 15 to about 30 nucleotide residues, or about 50 to about 100 nucleotide residues, or about 100 to about 200 nucleotide residues, or about 200 to about 400 nucleotide residues, or about 275 to about 350 nucleotide residues).

A fragment of one or more of the nucleic acid molecules of the invention may be a  
10 probe and specifically a PCR probe. A PCR probe is a nucleic acid molecule capable of initiating a polymerase activity while in a double-stranded structure with another nucleic acid. Various methods for determining the structure of PCR probes and PCR techniques exist in the art. Computer generated searches using programs such as Primer3  
([www.genome.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer/primer3.cgi](http://www.genome.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer/primer3.cgi)), STSPipeline  
15 ([www.genome.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/www-STSPipeline](http://www.genome.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/www-STSPipeline)), or GeneUp (Pesole *et al.*, *BioTechniques* 25:112-123 (1998)), for example, can be used to identify potential PCR primers.

Another subset of the nucleic acid molecules of the invention include nucleic acid molecules that encode a protein or fragment thereof.

20 Nucleic acid molecules or fragments thereof of the present invention are capable of specifically hybridizing to other nucleic acid molecules under certain circumstances. Nucleic acid molecules of the present invention include those that specifically hybridize to nucleic acid molecules having a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof.

25 As used herein, two nucleic acid molecules are said to be capable of specifically hybridizing to one another if the two molecules are capable of forming an anti-parallel, double-stranded nucleic acid structure.

A nucleic acid molecule is said to be the "complement" of another nucleic acid molecule if they exhibit complete complementarity. As used herein, molecules are said to exhibit  
30 "complete complementarity" when every nucleotide of one of the molecules is complemen-

tary to a nucleotide of the other. Two molecules are said to be "minimally complementary" if they can hybridize to one another with sufficient stability to permit them to remain annealed to one another under at least conventional "low-stringency" conditions. Similarly, the molecules are said to be "complementary" if they can hybridize to one another with sufficient stability to permit them to remain annealed to one another under conventional "high-stringency" conditions. Conventional stringency conditions are described by Sambrook *et al.*, *Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual, 2nd Ed.*, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1989) and by Haymes *et al.*, *Nucleic Acid Hybridization, A Practical Approach*, IRL Press, Washington, DC (1985). Departures from complete complementarity are therefore permissible, as long as such departures do not completely preclude the capacity of the molecules to form a double-stranded structure. Thus, in order for a nucleic acid molecule to serve as a primer or probe it need only be sufficiently complementary in sequence to be able to form a stable double-stranded structure under the particular solvent and salt concentrations employed.

Appropriate stringency conditions which promote DNA hybridization are, for example, 6.0 X sodium chloride/sodium citrate (SSC) at about 45°C, followed by a wash of 2.0 X SSC at 20-25°C, are known to those skilled in the art or can be found in *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, John Wiley & Sons, N.Y. (1989), 6.3.1-6.3.6. For example, the salt concentration in the wash step can be selected from a low stringency of about 2.0 X SSC at 20-25°C to a high stringency of about 0.2 X SSC at 65°C. In addition, the temperature in the wash step can be increased from low stringency conditions at room temperature, about 22°C, to high stringency conditions at about 65°C. Both temperature and salt may be varied, or either the temperature or the salt concentration may be held constant while the other variable is changed.

In a preferred embodiment, a nucleic acid of the present invention will specifically hybridize to one or more of the nucleic acid molecules set forth in SEQ ID Nos:1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof under moderately stringent conditions, for example at about 2.0 X SSC and about 65°C.

In a particularly preferred embodiment, a nucleic acid of the present invention will include those nucleic acid molecules that specifically hybridize to one or more of the nucleic

acid molecules set forth in SEQ ID NOs: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof under high stringency conditions such as 0.2 X SSC and about 65°C.

In a particular preferred embodiment, a nucleic acid molecule of the present invention specifically hybridizes to a nucleic acid molecule having a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group SEQ ID Nos; 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof but does not hybridize to a nucleic acid molecule having a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 5 or complement thereof under the same conditions.

In one aspect of the present invention, the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention have one or more of the nucleic acid sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOs: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof.

In another aspect of the present invention, one or more of the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention share between 100% and 90% sequence identity with one or more of the nucleic acid sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOs: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof. In a further aspect of the present invention, one or more of the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention share between 100% and 95% sequence identity with one or more of the nucleic acid sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOs: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof. In a more preferred aspect of the present invention, one or more of the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention share between 100% and 98% sequence identity with one or more of the nucleic acid sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOs: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof. In an even more preferred aspect of the present invention, one or more of the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention share between 100% and 99% sequence identity with one or more of the sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOs: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof.

In a preferred embodiment the percent identity calculations are performed using the Megalign program of the LASERGENE bioinformatics computing suite (default parameters, DNASTAR Inc., Madison, Wisconsin).

A nucleic acid molecule of the invention can also encode a homolog protein. As used herein, a homolog protein molecule or fragment thereof is a counterpart protein molecule or fragment thereof in a second species (*e.g.*, maize HES1 is a homolog of *Arabidopsis* HES1). A homolog can also be generated by molecular evolution or DNA shuffling techniques, so that the molecule retains at least one functional or structure characteristic of the original

protein (*see*, for example, U.S. Patent 5,811,238). Particularly preferred homologs are selected from the group consisting of alfalfa, *Arabidopsis*, barley, *Brassica*, broccoli, cabbage, citrus, cotton, garlic, oat, oilseed rape, onion, canola, flax, an ornamental plant, peanut, pepper, potato, rice, rye, sorghum, strawberry, sugarcane, sugarbeet, tomato, wheat, poplar, pine, fir, eucalyptus, apple, lettuce, lentils, grape, banana, tea, turf grasses, sunflower, soybean, maize, and *Phaseolus*. More particularly, preferred homologs are selected from maize, canola, soybean, crambe, mustard, castor bean, peanut, sesame, cottonseed, linseed, safflower, oil palm, flax and sunflower.

In a preferred embodiment, nucleic acid molecules having SEQ ID Nos: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof and fragments of either can be utilized to obtain such homologs.

In another further aspect of the present invention, nucleic acid molecules of the present invention can comprise sequences, which differ from those encoding a protein or fragment thereof in SEQ ID NOs: 30, 31, 32, and 33 due to fact that the different nucleic acid sequence encodes a protein having one or more conservative amino acid changes. It is understood that codons capable of coding for such conservative amino acid substitutions are known in the art.

It is well known in the art that one or more amino acids in a native sequence can be substituted with other amino acid(s), the charge and polarity of which are similar to that of the native amino acid, *i.e.*, a conservative amino acid substitution, resulting in a silent change.

Conservative substitutes for an amino acid within the native polypeptide sequence can be selected from other members of the class to which the amino acid belongs. Amino acids can be divided into the following four groups: (1) acidic amino acids, (2) basic amino acids, (3) neutral polar amino acids, and (4) neutral, nonpolar amino acids. Representative amino acids within these various groups include, but are not limited to, (1) acidic (negatively charged) amino acids such as aspartic acid and glutamic acid; (2) basic (positively charged) amino acids such as arginine, histidine, and lysine; (3) neutral polar amino acids such as glycine, serine, threonine, cysteine, cystine, tyrosine, asparagine, and glutamine; and (4) neutral nonpolar (hydrophobic) amino acids such as alanine, leucine, isoleucine, valine, proline, phenylalanine, tryptophan, and methionine.



Conservative amino acid substitution within the native polypeptide sequence can be made by replacing one amino acid from within one of these groups with another amino acid from within the same group. In a preferred aspect, biologically functional equivalents of the proteins or fragments thereof of the present invention can have ten or fewer conservative amino acid changes, more preferably seven or fewer conservative amino acid changes, and most preferably five or fewer conservative amino acid changes. The encoding nucleotide sequence will thus have corresponding base substitutions, permitting it to encode biologically functional equivalent forms of the proteins or fragments of the present invention.

It is understood that certain amino acids may be substituted for other amino acids in a protein structure without appreciable loss of interactive binding capacity with structures such as, for example, antigen-binding regions of antibodies or binding sites on substrate molecules. Because it is the interactive capacity and nature of a protein that defines that protein's biological functional activity, certain amino acid sequence substitutions can be made in a protein sequence and, of course, its underlying DNA coding sequence and, nevertheless, a protein with like properties can still be obtained. It is thus contemplated by the inventors that various changes may be made in the peptide sequences of the proteins or fragments of the present invention, or corresponding DNA sequences that encode said peptides, without appreciable loss of their biological utility or activity. It is understood that codons capable of coding for such amino acid changes are known in the art.

In making such changes, the hydropathic index of amino acids may be considered. The importance of the hydropathic amino acid index in conferring interactive biological function on a protein is generally understood in the art (Kyte and Doolittle, *J. Mol. Biol.* 157, 105-132 (1982), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). It is accepted that the relative hydropathic character of the amino acid contributes to the secondary structure of the resultant protein, which in turn defines the interaction of the protein with other molecules, for example, enzymes, substrates, receptors, DNA, antibodies, antigens, and the like.

Each amino acid has been assigned a hydropathic index on the basis of its hydrophobicity and charge characteristics (Kyte and Doolittle, *J. Mol. Biol.* 157:105-132 (1982)); these are isoleucine (+4.5), valine (+4.2), leucine (+3.8), phenylalanine (+2.8), cysteine/cystine (+2.5), methionine (+1.9), alanine (+1.8), glycine (-0.4), threonine (-0.7),

serine (-0.8), tryptophan (-0.9), tyrosine (-1.3), proline (-1.6), histidine (-3.2), glutamate (-3.5), glutamine (-3.5), aspartate (-3.5), asparagine (-3.5), lysine (-3.9), and arginine (-4.5).

In making such changes, the substitution of amino acids whose hydropathic indices are within  $\pm 2$  is preferred, those which are within  $\pm 1$  are particularly preferred, and those within  $\pm 0.5$  are even more particularly preferred.

It is also understood in the art that the substitution of like amino acids can be made effectively on the basis of hydrophilicity. U.S. Patent 4,554,101 states that the greatest local average hydrophilicity of a protein, as govern by the hydrophilicity of its adjacent amino acids, correlates with a biological property of the protein.

As detailed in U.S. Patent 4,554,101, the following hydrophilicity values have been assigned to amino acid residues: arginine (+3.0), lysine (+3.0), aspartate (+3.0 $\pm$ 1), glutamate (+3.0 $\pm$ 1), serine (+0.3), asparagine (+0.2), glutamine (+0.2), glycine (0), threonine (-0.4), proline (-0.5 $\pm$ 1), alanine (-0.5), histidine (-0.5), cysteine (-1.0), methionine (-1.3), valine (-1.5), leucine (-1.8), isoleucine (-1.8), tyrosine (-2.3), phenylalanine (-2.5), and tryptophan (-3.4).

In making such changes, the substitution of amino acids whose hydrophilicity values are within  $\pm 2$  is preferred, those which are within  $\pm 1$  are particularly preferred, and those within  $\pm 0.5$  are even more particularly preferred.

In a further aspect of the present invention, one or more of the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention differ in nucleic acid sequence from those encoding a protein or fragment thereof due to the fact that one or more codons encoding an amino acid has been substituted for a codon that encodes a nonessential substitution of the amino acid originally encoded.

Agents of the invention include nucleic acid molecules that encode at least about a contiguous 10 amino acid region of a protein of the present invention, more preferably at least about a contiguous 25, 40, 50, 100, or 125 amino acid region of a protein of the present invention.

#### **(b) Protein and Peptide Molecules**

A class of agents includes one or more of the protein or fragments thereof or peptide molecules encoded by a nucleic acid molecule having a nucleic acid sequence selected from

the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 30, 31, 32, and 33 or fragments thereof or one or more of the protein or fragment thereof and peptide molecules encoded by other nucleic acid agents of the invention.

A further particularly preferred class of protein is a plant HES1 protein. A further particularly preferred class of protein is a yeast HES1 protein.

As used herein, the term "protein" or "peptide molecule" includes any molecule that comprises five or more amino acids. It is well known in the art that proteins may undergo modification, including post-translational modifications, such as, but not limited to, disulfide bond formation, glycosylation, phosphorylation, or oligomerization. Thus, as used herein, the term "protein" or "peptide molecule" includes any protein that is modified by any biological or non-biological process. The terms "amino acid" and "amino acids" refer to all naturally occurring L-amino acids. This definition is meant to include norleucine, norvaline, ornithine, homocysteine, and homoserine.

One or more of the protein or fragments thereof or peptide molecules may be produced via chemical synthesis, or more preferably, by expression in a suitable bacterial or eukaryotic host. Suitable methods for expression are described by Sambrook *et al.*, In: *Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual, 2nd Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1989)*, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference, or similar texts.

A "protein fragment" is a peptide or polypeptide molecule whose amino acid sequence comprises a subset of the amino acid sequence of that protein. A protein or fragment thereof that comprises one or more additional peptide regions not derived from that protein is a "fusion" protein. Such molecules may be derivatized to contain carbohydrate or other moieties (such as keyhole limpet hemocyanin, *etc.*). Fusion protein or peptide molecules of the invention are preferably produced via recombinant means.

Another class of agents comprise protein or peptide molecules or fragments or fusions thereof comprising SEQ ID NO: 30, 31, 32, or 33 or fragment thereof or encoded by SEQ ID NO: 1, 2, 3, or 4 in which conservative, non-essential or non-relevant amino acid residues have been added, replaced or deleted. Another particular preferred class of proteins are those having an amino acid sequence where the nucleic acid sequence is selected from the group

consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 6-29 in which conservative, non-essential or non-relevant amino acid residues have been added, replaced or deleted. A further particularly preferred class of protein is a HES1 protein in which conservative, non-essential or non-relevant amino acid residues have been added, replaced or deleted. Computerized means for designing modifications in protein structure are known in the art (Dahiyat and Mayo, *Science* 278:82-87 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

A protein of the invention can also be a homolog protein. As used herein, a homolog protein or fragment thereof is a counterpart protein or fragment thereof in a second species. A homolog can also be generated by molecular evolution or DNA shuffling techniques, so that the molecule retains at least one functional or structure characteristic of the original (*see*, for example, U.S. Patent 5,811,238, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Particularly preferred homologs are selected from the group consisting of alfalfa, *Arabidopsis*, barley, *Brassica*, broccoli, cabbage, citrus, cotton, garlic, oat, oilseed rape, onion, canola, flax, an ornamental plant, peanut, pepper, potato, rice, rye, sorghum, strawberry, sugarcane, sugarbeet, tomato, wheat, poplar, pine, fir, eucalyptus, apple, lettuce, lentils, grape, banana, tea, turf grasses, sunflower, and *Phaseolus*. Other particularly preferred homologs are selected from the group consisting of blue green algae and bacteria. In a more preferred embodiment, the homologs are selected from the group of maize and soybean.

In a preferred embodiment, the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention or complements and fragments of either can be utilized to obtain such homologs.

The degeneracy of the genetic code, which allows different nucleic acid sequences to code for the same protein or peptide, is known in the literature (U.S. Patent No. 4,757,006, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Agents of the invention include proteins comprising at least about a contiguous 10 amino acid region preferably comprising at least about a contiguous 20 amino acid region, even more preferably comprising at least a contiguous 25, 35, 50, 75 or 100 amino acid region of a protein of the present invention. In another preferred embodiment, the proteins of the present invention include between about 10 and about 25 contiguous amino acid region,

more preferably between about 20 and about 50 contiguous amino acid region, and even more preferably between about 40 and about 80 contiguous amino acid region.

**(c) Plant Constructs and Plant Transformants**

One or more of the nucleic acid molecules of the invention may be used in plant trans-  
5 formation or transfection. Exogenous genetic material may be transferred into a plant cell and the plant cell regenerated into a whole, fertile or sterile plant. Exogenous genetic material is any genetic material, whether naturally occurring or otherwise, from any source that is capable of being inserted into any organism. In a preferred embodiment, the exogenous genetic material includes a nucleic acid molecule of the present invention, preferably a nucleic  
10 acid molecule having a sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 1-29 or complements thereof or fragments of either. Another preferred class of exogenous genetic material is nucleic acid molecules that encode a protein or fragment thereof having an amino acid selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 30, 31, 32, 33, and 34 or fragments thereof.

15 In another preferred aspect of the present invention, exogenous genetic material is nucleic acid molecules that comprise a nucleic acid sequence which encodes a HES1 protein or fragment thereof, more preferably a yeast HES1 protein or fragment thereof, even more preferably a plant HES1 protein or fragment thereof.

Such genetic material may be transferred into either monocotyledons and dicotyle-  
20 dons including, but not limited to maize, soybean, *Arabidopsis*, phaseolus, peanut, alfalfa, wheat, rice, oat, sorghum, rye, tritordeum, millet, fescue, perennial ryegrass, sugarcane, cranberry, papaya, banana, muskmelon, apple, cucumber, dendrobium, gladiolus, chrysanthemum, liliacea, cotton, eucalyptus, sunflower, canola, turfgrass, sugarbeet, coffee and dioscorea (Christou, In: *Particle Bombardment for Genetic Engineering of Plants*, Bio-  
25 technology Intelligence Unit. Academic Press, San Diego, California (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Particularly preferred plants are selected from maize, canola, soybean, Crambe, mustard, castor bean, peanut, sesame, cottonseed, linseed, safflower, oil palm, flax and sunflower.

Transfer of a nucleic acid that encodes a protein can result in expression or overex-  
30 pression of that protein in a transformed cell or transgenic plant. One or more of the proteins

or fragments thereof encoded by nucleic acid molecules of the invention may be overexpressed in a transformed cell or transformed plant. Such expression or overexpression may be the result of transient or stable transfer of the exogenous genetic material.

In a preferred embodiment, expression or overexpression of a HES1 protein in a plant provides in that plant, relative to an untransformed plant with a similar genetic background, an increased level of phytosterols.

In a preferred embodiment, expression or overexpression of a HES1 protein in a plant provides in that plant, relative to an untransformed plant with a similar genetic background, an altered composition of phytosterols.

In another embodiment, overexpression of a HES1 protein in a plant provides in that plant, relative to an untransformed plant with a similar genetic background, an increased level of a HES1 protein in a plastid.

In another preferred embodiment, overexpression of the HES1 protein in a transformed plant will result in a plant which provides when eaten acts exhibits an increased ability to act as a cholesterol lowering agent relative to an untransformed plant with a similar genetic background.

Exogenous genetic material may be transferred into a host cell by the use of a DNA vector or construct designed for such a purpose. Design of such a vector is generally within the skill of the art (*See, Plant Molecular Biology: A Laboratory Manual*, Clark (ed.), Springer, New York (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

A construct or vector may include a plant promoter to express the protein or protein fragment of choice. A number of promoters, which are active in plant cells, have been described in the literature. These include the nopaline synthase (NOS) promoter (Ebert *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 84:5745-5749 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the octopine synthase (OCS) promoter (which is carried on tumor-inducing plasmids of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*), the caulimovirus promoters such as the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 19S promoter (Lawton *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 9:315-324 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and the CaMV 35S promoter (Odell *et al.*, *Nature* 313:810-812 (1985), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the figwort mosaic virus 35S-promoter, the light-inducible promoter from the

small subunit of ribulose-1,5-bis-phosphate carboxylase (ssRUBISCO), the Adh promoter (Walker *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 84:6624-6628 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the sucrose synthase promoter (Yang *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 87:4144-4148 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the R gene complex promoter (Chandler *et al.*, *The Plant Cell* 1:1175-1183 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and the chlorophyll a/b binding protein gene promoter, *etc.* These promoters have been used to create DNA constructs that have been expressed in plants; *see, e.g.*, PCT publication WO 84/02913. The CaMV 35S promoters are preferred for use in plants. Promoters known or found to cause transcription of DNA in plant cells can be used in the invention.

For the purpose of expression in source tissues of the plant, such as the leaf, seed, root or stem, it is preferred that the promoters utilized have relatively high expression in these specific tissues. Tissue-specific expression of a protein of the present invention is a particularly preferred embodiment. For this purpose, one may choose from a number of promoters for genes with tissue- or cell-specific or -enhanced expression. Examples of such promoters reported in the literature include the chloroplast glutamine synthetase GS2 promoter from pea (Edwards *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 87:3459-3463 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the chloroplast fructose-1,6-biphosphatase (FBPase) promoter from wheat (Lloyd *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 225:209-216 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the nuclear photosynthetic ST-LS1 promoter from potato (Stockhaus *et al.*, *EMBO J.* 8:2445-2451 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the serine/threonine kinase (PAL) promoter and the glucoamylase (CHS) promoter from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Also reported to be active in photosynthetically active tissues are the ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (RbcS) promoter from eastern larch (*Larix laricina*), the promoter for the *cab* gene, *cab6*, from pine (Yamamoto *et al.*, *Plant Cell Physiol.* 35:773-778 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the promoter for the Cab-1 gene from wheat (Fejes *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 15:921-932 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the promoter for the CAB-1 gene from spinach (Lubberstedt *et al.*, *Plant Physiol.* 104:997-1006 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the promoter for the *cab1R* gene from rice (Luan *et al.*,

*Plant Cell*. 4:971-981 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the pyruvate, orthophosphate dikinase (PPDK) promoter from maize (Matsuoka *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 90: 9586-9590 (1993), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the promoter for the tobacco Lhcb1\*2 gene (Cerdan *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 33:245-255 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the *Arabidopsis thaliana* SUC2 sucrose-H<sup>+</sup> symporter promoter (Truernit *et al.*, *Planta*. 196:564-570 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and the promoter for the thylakoid membrane proteins from spinach (psaD, psaF, psaE, PC, FNR, atpC, atpD, cab, rbcS). Other promoters for the chlorophyll a/b-binding proteins may also be utilized in the invention, such as the promoters for LhcB gene and PsbP gene from white mustard (*Sinapis alba*; Kretsch *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 28:219-229 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

For the purpose of expression in sink tissues of the plant, such as the tuber of the potato plant, the fruit of tomato, or the seed of maize, wheat, rice and barley, it is preferred that the promoters utilized in the invention have relatively high expression in these specific tissues. A number of promoters for genes with tuber-specific or tuber-enhanced expression are known, including the class I patatin promoter (Bevan *et al.*, *EMBO J.* 8:1899-1906 (1986); Jefferson *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 14:995-1006 (1990)), the promoter for the potato tuber ADPGPP genes, both the large and small subunits, the sucrose synthase promoter (Salanoubat and Belliard, *Gene* 60:47-56 (1987), Salanoubat and Belliard, *Gene* 84:181-185 (1989), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety), the promoter for the major tuber proteins including the 22 kd protein complexes and protease inhibitors (Hannapel, *Plant Physiol.* 101:703-704 (1993), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the promoter for the granule-bound starch synthase gene (GBSS) (Visser *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 17:691-699 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and other class I and II patatins promoters (Koster-Topfer *et al.*, *Mol Gen Genet.* 219:390-396 (1989); Mignery *et al.*, *Gene.* 62:27-44 (1988), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

Other promoters can also be used to express a protein or fragment thereof in specific tissues, such as seeds or fruits. The promoter for  $\beta$ -conglycinin (Chen *et al.*, *Dev. Genet.* 10:



112-122 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) or other seed-specific promoters such as the napin and phaseolin promoters, can be used. The zeins are a group of storage proteins found in maize endosperm. Genomic clones for zein genes have been isolated (Pedersen *et al.*, *Cell* 29:1015-1026 (1982), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and the promoters from these clones, including the 15 kD, 16 kD, 19 kD, 22 kD, 27 kD and genes, could also be used. Other promoters known to function, for example, in maize include the promoters for the following genes: *waxy*, *Brittle*, *Shrunken 2*, Branching enzymes I and II, starch synthases, debranching enzymes, oleosins, glutelins and sucrose synthases. A particularly preferred promoter for maize endosperm expression is the promoter for the glutelin gene from rice, more particularly the Osgt-1 promoter (Zheng *et al.*, *Mol. Cell Biol.* 13:5829-5842 (1993), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Examples of promoters suitable for expression in wheat include those promoters for the ADPGlucose pyrosynthase (ADPGPP) subunits, the granule bound and other starch synthase, the branching and debranching enzymes, the embryogenesis-abundant proteins, the gliadins and the glutenins. Examples of such promoters in rice include those promoters for the ADPGPP subunits, the granule bound and other starch synthase, the branching enzymes, the debranching enzymes, sucrose synthases and the glutelins. A particularly preferred promoter is the promoter for rice glutelin, Osgt-1. Examples of such promoters for barley include those for the ADPGPP subunits, the granule bound and other starch synthase, the branching enzymes, the debranching enzymes, sucrose synthases, the hordeins, the embryo globulins and the aleurone specific proteins.

Root specific promoters may also be used. An example of such a promoter is the promoter for the acid chitinase gene (Samac *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 25:587-596 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Expression in root tissue could also be accomplished by utilizing the root specific subdomains of the CaMV35S promoter that have been identified (Lam *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 86:7890-7894 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Other root cell specific promoters include those reported by Conkling *et al.* (Conkling *et al.*, *Plant Physiol.* 93:1203-1211 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Additional promoters that may be utilized are described, for example, in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,378,619; 5,391,725; 5,428,147; 5,447,858; 5,608,144; 5,608,144; 5,614,399; 5,633,441; 5,633,435; and 4,633,436, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. In addition, a tissue specific enhancer may be used (Fromm *et al.*, *The Plant Cell* 1:977-984 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Constructs or vectors may also include, with the coding region of interest, a nucleic acid sequence that acts, in whole or in part, to terminate transcription of that region. A number of such sequences have been isolated, including the Tr7 3' sequence and the NOS 3' sequence (Ingelbrecht *et al.*, *The Plant Cell* 1:671-680 (1989); Bevan *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 11:369-385 (1983), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

A vector or construct may also include regulatory elements. Examples of such include the Adh intron 1 (Callis *et al.*, *Genes and Develop.* 1:1183-1200 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the sucrose synthase intron (Vasil *et al.*, *Plant Physiol.* 91:1575-1579 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and the TMV omega element (Gallie *et al.*, *The Plant Cell* 1:301-311 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). These and other regulatory elements may be included when appropriate.

A vector or construct may also include a selectable marker. Selectable markers may also be used to select for plants or plant cells that contain the exogenous genetic material. Examples of such include, but are not limited to: a neo gene (Potrykus *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 199:183-188 (1985), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), which codes for kanamycin resistance and can be selected for using kanamycin, G418, *etc.*; a bar gene which codes for bialaphos resistance; a mutant EPSP synthase gene (Hinchee *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 6:915-922 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) which encodes glyphosate resistance; a nitrilase gene which confers resistance to bromoxynil (Stalker *et al.*, *J. Biol. Chem.* 263:6310-6314 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); a mutant acetolactate synthase gene (ALS) which confers imidazolinone or sulphonylurea resistance (European Patent Application 154,204 (Sept. 11, 1985), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); and a methotrexate resistant DHFR gene

(Thillet *et al.*, *J. Biol. Chem.* 263:12500-12508 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

A vector or construct may also include a transit peptide. Incorporation of a suitable chloroplast transit peptide may also be employed (European Patent Application Publication Number 0218571, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Translational enhancers may also be incorporated as part of the vector DNA. DNA constructs could contain one or more 5' non-translated leader sequences which may serve to enhance expression of the gene products from the resulting mRNA transcripts. Such sequences may be derived from the promoter selected to express the gene or can be specifically modified to increase translation of the mRNA. Such regions may also be obtained from viral RNAs, from suitable eukaryotic genes, or from a synthetic gene sequence. For a review of optimizing expression of transgenes, see Koziel *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 32:393-405 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference.

A vector or construct may also include a screenable marker. Screenable markers may be used to monitor expression. Exemplary screenable markers include: a  $\beta$ -glucuronidase or uidA gene (GUS) which encodes an enzyme for which various chromogenic substrates are known (Jefferson, *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 5:387-405 (1987); Jefferson *et al.*, *EMBO J.* 6:3901-3907 (1987), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); an R-locus gene, which encodes a product that regulates the production of anthocyanin pigments (red color) in plant tissues (Dellaporta *et al.*, *Stadler Symposium* 11:263-282 (1988), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); a  $\beta$ -lactamase gene (Sutcliffe *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 75:3737-3741 (1978), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), a gene which encodes an enzyme for which various chromogenic substrates are known (*e.g.*, PADAC, a chromogenic cephalosporin); a luciferase gene (Ow *et al.*, *Science* 234:856-859 (1986), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); a xylE gene (Zukowsky *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 80:1101-1105 (1983), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) which encodes a catechol dioxygenase that can convert chromogenic catechols; an  $\alpha$ -amylase gene (Ikata *et al.*, *Bio/Technol.* 8:241-242 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); a tyrosinase gene (Katz *et al.*, *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 129:2703-2714 (1983), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by

reference) which encodes an enzyme capable of oxidizing tyrosine to DOPA and dopaquinone which in turn condenses to melanin; an  $\alpha$ -galactosidase, which will turn a chromogenic  $\alpha$ -galactose substrate.

Included within the terms "selectable or screenable marker genes" are also genes which encode a secretable marker whose secretion can be detected as a means of identifying or selecting for transformed cells. Examples include markers which encode a secretable antigen that can be identified by antibody interaction, or even secretable enzymes which can be detected catalytically. Secretable proteins fall into a number of classes, including small, diffusible proteins which are detectable, (e.g., by ELISA), small active enzymes which are detectable in extracellular solution (e.g.,  $\alpha$ -amylase,  $\beta$ -lactamase, phosphinothricin transferase), or proteins which are inserted or trapped in the cell wall (such as proteins which include a leader sequence such as that found in the expression unit of extension or tobacco PR-S). Other possible selectable and/or screenable marker genes will be apparent to those of skill in the art.

There are many methods for introducing transforming nucleic acid molecules into plant cells. Suitable methods are believed to include virtually any method by which nucleic acid molecules may be introduced into a cell, such as by *Agrobacterium* infection or direct delivery of nucleic acid molecules such as, for example, by PEG-mediated transformation, by electroporation or by acceleration of DNA coated particles, etc (Potrykus, *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 42:205-225 (1991); Vasil, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 25:925-937 (1994), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety). For example, electroporation has been used to transform maize protoplasts (Fromm *et al.*, *Nature* 312:791-793 (1986), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Other vector systems suitable for introducing transforming DNA into a host plant cell include but are not limited to binary artificial chromosome (BIBAC) vectors (Hamilton *et al.*, *Gene* 200:107-116 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); and transfection with RNA viral vectors (Della-Cioppa *et al.*, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* (1996), 792 (Engineering Plants for Commercial Products and Applications), 57-61, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Additional vector systems also include plant selectable

YAC vectors such as those described in Mullen *et al.*, *Molecular Breeding* 4:449-457 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference.

Technology for introduction of DNA into cells is well known to those of skill in the art. Four general methods for delivering a gene into cells have been described: (1) chemical methods (Graham and van der Eb, *Virology* 54:536-539 (1973), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); (2) physical methods such as microinjection (Capecchi, *Cell* 22:479-488 (1980), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), electroporation (Wong and Neumann, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 107:584-587 (1982); Fromm *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 82:5824-5828 (1985); U.S. Patent No.

5,384,253, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); the gene gun (Johnston and Tang, *Methods Cell Biol.* 43:353-365 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); and vacuum infiltration (Bechtold *et al.*, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Life Sci.* 316:1194-1199. (1993), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); (3) viral vectors (Clapp, *Clin. Perinatol.* 20:155-168 (1993); Lu *et al.*, *J. Exp. Med.* 178:2089-2096 (1993); Eglitis and Anderson, *Biotechniques* 6:608-614 (1988), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); and (4) receptor-mediated mechanisms (Curiel *et al.*, *Hum. Gen. Ther.* 3:147-154 (1992), Wagner *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 89:6099-6103 (1992), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

Acceleration methods that may be used include, for example, microprojectile bombardment and the like. One example of a method for delivering transforming nucleic acid molecules into plant cells is microprojectile bombardment. This method has been reviewed by Yang and Christou (eds.), *Particle Bombardment Technology for Gene Transfer*, Oxford Press, Oxford, England (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference. Non-biological particles (microprojectiles) that may be coated with nucleic acids and delivered into cells by a propelling force. Exemplary particles include those comprised of tungsten, gold, platinum and the like.

A particular advantage of microprojectile bombardment, in addition to it being an effective means of reproducibly transforming monocots, is that neither the isolation of protoplasts (Cristou *et al.*, *Plant Physiol.* 87:671-674 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) nor the susceptibility to *Agrobacterium* infection is required. An

illustrative embodiment of a method for delivering DNA into maize cells by acceleration is a biolistics  $\alpha$ -particle delivery system, which can be used to propel particles coated with DNA through a screen, such as a stainless steel or Nytex screen, onto a filter surface covered with corn cells cultured in suspension. Gordon-Kamm *et al.*, describes the basic procedure for coating tungsten particles with DNA (Gordon-Kamm *et al.*, *Plant Cell* 2:603-618 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). The screen disperses the tungsten nucleic acid particles so that they are not delivered to the recipient cells in large aggregates. A particle delivery system suitable for use with the invention is the helium acceleration PDS-1000/He gun, which is available from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California) (Sanford *et al.*, *Technique* 3:3-16 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

For the bombardment, cells in suspension may be concentrated on filters. Filters containing the cells to be bombarded are positioned at an appropriate distance below the microprojectile stopping plate. If desired, one or more screens are also positioned between the gun and the cells to be bombarded.

Alternatively, immature embryos or other target cells may be arranged on solid culture medium. The cells to be bombarded are positioned at an appropriate distance below the microprojectile stopping plate. If desired, one or more screens are also positioned between the acceleration device and the cells to be bombarded. Through the use of techniques set forth herein one may obtain 1000 or more loci of cells transiently expressing a marker gene. The number of cells in a focus which express the exogenous gene product 48 hours post-bombardment often ranges from one to ten, and average one to three.

In bombardment transformation, one may optimize the pre-bombardment culturing conditions and the bombardment parameters to yield the maximum numbers of stable transformants. Both the physical and biological parameters for bombardment are important in this technology. Physical factors are those that involve manipulating the DNA/microprojectile precipitate or those that affect the flight and velocity of either the macro- or microprojectiles. Biological factors include all steps involved in manipulation of cells before and immediately after bombardment, the osmotic adjustment of target cells to help alleviate the trauma associated with bombardment and also the nature of the transforming DNA, such as linearized

DNA or intact supercoiled plasmids. It is believed that pre-bombardment manipulations are especially important for successful transformation of immature embryos.

In another alternative embodiment, plastids can be stably transformed. Methods disclosed for plastid transformation in higher plants include the particle gun delivery of DNA containing a selectable marker and targeting of the DNA to the plastid genome through homologous recombination (Svab *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 87:8526-8530 (1990); Svab and Maliga, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 90:913-917 (1993); Staub and Maliga, *EMBO J.* 12:601-606 (1993); U.S. Patents 5,451,513 and 5,545,818, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

Accordingly, it is contemplated that one may wish to adjust various aspects of the bombardment parameters in small scale studies to fully optimize the conditions. One may particularly wish to adjust physical parameters such as gap distance, flight distance, tissue distance and helium pressure. One may also minimize the trauma reduction factors by modifying conditions that influence the physiological state of the recipient cells and which may therefore influence transformation and integration efficiencies. For example, the osmotic state, tissue hydration and the subculture stage or cell cycle of the recipient cells may be adjusted for optimum transformation. The execution of other routine adjustments will be known to those of skill in the art in light of the present disclosure.

*Agrobacterium*-mediated transfer is a widely applicable system for introducing genes into plant cells because the DNA can be introduced into whole plant tissues, thereby bypassing the need for regeneration of an intact plant from a protoplast. The use of *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant integrating vectors to introduce DNA into plant cells is well known in the art. See, for example the methods described by Fraley *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 3:629-635 (1985) and Rogers *et al.*, *Methods Enzymol.* 153:253-277 (1987), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. Further, the integration of the Ti-DNA is a relatively precise process resulting in few rearrangements. The region of DNA to be transferred is defined by the border sequences and intervening DNA is usually inserted into the plant genome as described (Spielmann *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 205:34 (1986), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Modern *Agrobacterium* transformation vectors are capable of replication in *E. coli* as well as *Agrobacterium*, allowing for convenient manipulations as described (Klee *et al.*, In: *Plant DNA Infectious Agents*, Hohn and Schell (eds.), Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 179-203 (1985), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Moreover, technological advances in vectors for *Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer have improved the arrangement of genes and restriction sites in the vectors to facilitate construction of vectors capable of expressing various polypeptide coding genes. The vectors described have convenient multi-linker regions flanked by a promoter and a polyadenylation site for direct expression of inserted polypeptide coding genes and are suitable for present purposes (Rogers *et al.*, *Methods Enzymol.* 153:253-277 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). In addition, *Agrobacterium* containing both armed and disarmed Ti genes can be used for the transformations. In those plant strains where *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation is efficient, it is the method of choice because of the facile and defined nature of the gene transfer.

A transgenic plant formed using *Agrobacterium* transformation methods typically contains a single gene on one chromosome. Such transgenic plants can be referred to as being heterozygous for the added gene. More preferred is a transgenic plant that is homozygous for the added structural gene; *i.e.*, a transgenic plant that contains two added genes, one gene at the same locus on each chromosome of a chromosome pair. A homozygous transgenic plant can be obtained by sexually mating (selfing) an independent segregant, transgenic plant that contains a single added gene, germinating some of the seed produced and analyzing the resulting plants produced for the gene of interest.

It is also to be understood that two different transgenic plants can also be mated to produce offspring that contain two independently segregating, exogenous genes. Selfing of appropriate progeny can produce plants that are homozygous for both added, exogenous genes that encode a polypeptide of interest. Back-crossing to a parental plant and out-crossing with a non-transgenic plant are also contemplated, as is vegetative propagation.

Transformation of plant protoplasts can be achieved using methods based on calcium phosphate precipitation, polyethylene glycol treatment, electroporation and combinations of these treatments (See, for example, Potrykus *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 205:193-200 (1986);



Lorz *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 199:178 (1985); Fromm *et al.*, *Nature* 319:791 (1986); Uchimiya *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 204:204 (1986); Marcotte *et al.*, *Nature* 335:454-457 (1988), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

Application of these systems to different plant strains depends upon the ability to regenerate that particular plant strain from protoplasts. Illustrative methods for the regeneration of cereals from protoplasts are described (Fujimura *et al.*, *Plant Tissue Culture Letters* 2:74 (1985); Toriyama *et al.*, *Theor Appl. Genet.* 205:34 (1986); Yamada *et al.*, *Plant Cell Rep.* 4:85 (1986); Abdullah *et al.*, *Biotechnology* 4:1087 (1986), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

To transform plant strains that cannot be successfully regenerated from protoplasts, other ways to introduce DNA into intact cells or tissues can be utilized. For example, regeneration of cereals from immature embryos or explants can be effected as described (Vasil, *Biotechnology* 6:397 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). In addition, "particle gun" or high-velocity microprojectile technology can be utilized (Vasil *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 10:667 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Using the latter technology, DNA is carried through the cell wall and into the cytoplasm on the surface of small metal particles as described (Klein *et al.*, *Nature* 328:70 (1987); Klein *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 85:8502-8505 (1988); McCabe *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 6:923 (1988), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety). The metal particles penetrate through several layers of cells and thus allow the transformation of cells within tissue explants.

Other methods of cell transformation can also be used and include but are not limited to introduction of DNA into plants by direct DNA transfer into pollen (Hess *et al.*, *Intern Rev. Cytol.* 107:367 (1987); Luo *et al.*, *Plant Mol Biol. Reporter* 6:165 (1988), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety), by direct injection of DNA into reproductive organs of a plant (Pena *et al.*, *Nature* 325:274 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), or by direct injection of DNA into the cells of immature embryos followed by the rehydration of desiccated embryos (Neuhaus *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 75:30 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

The regeneration, development and cultivation of plants from single plant protoplast transformants or from various transformed explants is well known in the art (Weissbach and Weissbach, In: *Methods for Plant Molecular Biology*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). This regeneration and growth process typically includes the steps of selection of transformed cells, culturing those individualized cells through the usual stages of embryonic development through the rooted plantlet stage. Transgenic embryos and seeds are similarly regenerated. The resulting transgenic rooted shoots are thereafter planted in an appropriate plant growth medium such as soil.

The development or regeneration of plants containing the foreign, exogenous gene that encodes a protein of interest is well known in the art. Preferably, the regenerated plants are self-pollinated to provide homozygous transgenic plants. Otherwise, pollen obtained from the regenerated plants is crossed to seed-grown plants of agronomically important lines. Conversely, pollen from plants of these important lines is used to pollinate regenerated plants. A transgenic plant of the invention containing a desired polypeptide is cultivated using methods well known to one skilled in the art.

There are a variety of methods for the regeneration of plants from plant tissue. The particular method of regeneration will depend on the starting plant tissue and the particular plant species to be regenerated.

Methods for transforming dicots, primarily by use of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and obtaining transgenic plants have been published for cotton (U.S. Patent No. 5,004,863; U.S. Patent No. 5,159,135; U.S. Patent No. 5,518,908, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); soybean (U.S. Patent No. 5,569,834; U.S. Patent No. 5,416,011; McCabe *et al.*, *Biotechnology* 6:923 (1988); Christou *et al.*, *Plant Physiol.* 87:671-674 (1988), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); *Brassica* (U.S. Patent No. 5,463,174, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); peanut (Cheng *et al.*, *Plant Cell Rep.* 15:653-657 (1996), McKently *et al.*, *Plant Cell Rep.* 14:699-703 (1995), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); papaya; pea (Grant *et al.*, *Plant Cell Rep.* 15:254-258 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Bechtold *et al.*, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Life Sci.* 316:1194-1199. (1993), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). The latter

method for transforming *Arabidopsis thaliana* is commonly called "dipping" or vacuum infiltration or germplasm transformation.

Transformation of monocotyledons using electroporation, particle bombardment and *Agrobacterium* have also been reported. Transformation and plant regeneration have been achieved in asparagus (Bytebier *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 84:5354 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); barley (Wan and Lemaux, *Plant Physiol* 104:37 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); maize (Rhodes *et al.*, *Science* 240:204 (1988); Gordon-Kamm *et al.*, *Plant Cell* 2:603-618 (1990); Fromm *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 8:833 (1990); Koziel *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 11:194 (1993); Armstrong *et al.*, *Crop Science* 35:550-557 (1995), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); oat (Somers *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 10:1589 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); orchard grass (Horn *et al.*, *Plant Cell Rep.* 7:469 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); rice (Toriyama *et al.*, *Theor Appl. Genet.* 205:34 (1986); Part *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 32:1135-1148 (1996); Abedinia *et al.*, *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 24:133-141 (1997); Zhang and Wu, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 76:835 (1988); Zhang *et al.*, *Plant Cell Rep.* 7:379 (1988); Battraw and Hall, *Plant Sci.* 86:191-202 (1992); Christou *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 9:957 (1991), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); rye (De la Pena *et al.*, *Nature* 325:274 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); sugarcane (Bower and Birch, *Plant J.* 2:409 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); tall fescue (Wang *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 10:691 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and wheat (Vasil *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 10:667 (1992); U.S. Patent No. 5,631,152, both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

Assays for gene expression based on the transient expression of cloned nucleic acid constructs have been developed by introducing the nucleic acid molecules into plant cells by polyethylene glycol treatment, electroporation, or particle bombardment (Marcotte *et al.*, *Nature* 335:454-457 (1988); Marcotte *et al.*, *Plant Cell* 1:523-532 (1989); McCarty *et al.*, *Cell* 66:895-905 (1991); Hattori *et al.*, *Genes Dev.* 6:609-618 (1992); Goff *et al.*, *EMBO J.* 9:2517-2522 (1990), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

Transient expression systems may be used to functionally dissect gene constructs (*see*

generally, Mailga *et al.*, *Methods in Plant Molecular Biology*, Cold Spring Harbor Press (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Any of the nucleic acid molecules of the invention may be introduced into a plant cell in a permanent or transient manner in combination with other genetic elements such as vectors, promoters, enhancers, *etc.* Further, any of the nucleic acid molecules of the invention may be introduced into a plant cell in a manner that allows for expression or overexpression of the protein or fragment thereof encoded by the nucleic acid molecule.

Cosuppression is the reduction in expression levels, usually at the level of RNA, of a particular endogenous gene or gene family by the expression of a homologous sense construct that is capable of transcribing mRNA of the same strandedness as the transcript of the endogenous gene (Napoli *et al.*, *Plant Cell* 2:279-289 (1990); van der Krol *et al.*, *Plant Cell* 2:291-299 (1990), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety). Cosuppression may result from stable transformation with a single copy nucleic acid molecule that is homologous to a nucleic acid sequence found with the cell (Prolls and Meyer, *Plant J.* 2:465-475 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) or with multiple copies of a nucleic acid molecule that is homologous to a nucleic acid sequence found with the cell (Mittlesten *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 244:325-330 (1994)). Genes, even though different, linked to homologous promoters may result in the cosuppression of the linked genes (Vaucheret, *C.R. Acad. Sci. III* 316:1471-1483 (1993); Flavell, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 91:3490-3496 (1994)); van Blokland *et al.*, *Plant J.* 6:861-877 (1994); Jorgensen, *Trends Biotechnol.* 8:340-344 (1990); Meins and Kunz, In: *Gene Inactivation and Homologous Recombination in Plants*, Paszkowski (ed.), pp. 335-348, Kluwer Academic, Netherlands (1994), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

It is understood that one or more of the nucleic acids of the invention may be introduced into a plant cell and transcribed using an appropriate promoter with such transcription resulting in the cosuppression of an endogenous protein.

Antisense approaches are a way of preventing or reducing gene function by targeting the genetic material (Mol *et al.*, *FEBS Lett.* 268:427-430 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). The objective of the antisense approach is to use a sequence complementary to the target gene to block its expression and create a mutant cell line

or organism in which the level of a single chosen protein is selectively reduced or abolished. Antisense techniques have several advantages over other 'reverse genetic' approaches. The site of inactivation and its developmental effect can be manipulated by the choice of promoter for antisense genes or by the timing of external application or microinjection. Antisense can  
5 manipulate its specificity by selecting either unique regions of the target gene or regions where it shares homology to other related genes (Hiatt *et al.*, In: *Genetic Engineering*, Setlow (ed.), Vol. 11, New York: Plenum 49-63 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

The principle of regulation by antisense RNA is that RNA that is complementary to  
10 the target mRNA is introduced into cells, resulting in specific RNA:RNA duplexes being formed by base pairing between the antisense substrate and the target mRNA (Green *et al.*, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 55:569-597 (1986), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Under one embodiment, the process involves the introduction and expression of an antisense gene sequence. Such a sequence is one in which part or all of the normal gene se-  
15 quences are placed under a promoter in inverted orientation so that the 'wrong' or complementary strand is transcribed into a noncoding antisense RNA that hybridizes with the target mRNA and interferes with its expression (Takayama and Inouye, *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 25:155-184 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). An antisense vector is constructed by standard procedures and introduced into cells by transfor-  
20 mation, transfection, electroporation, microinjection, infection, *etc.* The type of transformation and choice of vector will determine whether expression is transient or stable. The promoter used for the antisense gene may influence the level, timing, tissue, specificity, or inducibility of the antisense inhibition.

It is understood that the activity of a protein in a plant cell may be reduced or  
25 depressed by growing a transformed plant cell containing a nucleic acid molecule of the present invention whose non-transcribed strand encodes a protein or fragment thereof.

Posttranscriptional gene silencing (PTGS) can result in virus immunity or gene silencing in plants. PTGS is induced by dsRNA and is mediated by an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, present in the cytoplasm, that requires a dsRNA template. The dsRNA is formed by  
30 hybridization of complementary transgene mRNAs or complementary regions of the same

transcript. Duplex formation can be accomplished by using transcripts from one sense gene and one antisense gene colocated in the plant genome, a single transcript that has self-complementarity, or sense and antisense transcripts from genes brought together by crossing. The dsRNA-dependent RNA polymerase makes a complementary strand from the transgene mRNA and RNase molecules attach to this complementary strand (cRNA). These cRNA-RNase molecules hybridize to the endogene mRNA and cleave the single-stranded RNA adjacent to the hybrid. The cleaved single-stranded RNAs are further degraded by other host RNases because one will lack a capped 5' end and the other will lack a poly(A) tail (Waterhouse *et al.*, *PNAS* 95: 13959-13964 (1998)).

It is understood that one or more of the nucleic acids of the invention may be introduced into a plant cell and transcribed using an appropriate promoter with such transcription resulting in the postranscriptional gene silencing of an endogenous transcript.

Antibodies have been expressed in plants (Hiatt *et al.*, *Nature* 342:76-78 (1989); Conrad and Fielder, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 26:1023-1030 (1994), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety). Cytoplasmic expression of a scFv (single-chain Fv antibody) has been reported to delay infection by artichoke mottled crinkle virus. Transgenic plants that express antibodies directed against endogenous proteins may exhibit a physiological effect (Philips *et al.*, *EMBO J.* 16:4489-4496 (1997); Marion-Poll, *Trends in Plant Science* 2:447-448 (1997), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety). For example, expressed anti-abscisic antibodies have been reported to result in a general perturbation of seed development (Philips *et al.*, *EMBO J.* 16: 4489-4496 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Antibodies that are catalytic may also be expressed in plants (abzymes). The principle behind abzymes is that since antibodies may be raised against many molecules, this recognition ability can be directed toward generating antibodies that bind transition states to force a chemical reaction forward (Persidas, *Nature Biotechnology* 15:1313-1315 (1997); Baca *et al.*, *Ann. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* 26:461-493 (1997), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety). The catalytic abilities of abzymes may be enhanced by site directed mutagenesis. Examples of abzymes are, for example, set forth in U.S. Patent No. 5,658,753; U.S. Patent No. 5,632,990; U.S. Patent No. 5,631,137; U.S. Patent

5,602,015; U.S. Patent No. 5,559,538; U.S. Patent No. 5,576,174; U.S. Patent No. 5,500,358; U.S. Patent 5,318,897; U.S. Patent No. 5,298,409; U.S. Patent No. 5,258,289 and U.S. Patent No. 5,194,585, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety.

It is understood that any of the antibodies of the invention may be expressed in plants and that such expression can result in a physiological effect. It is also understood that any of the expressed antibodies may be catalytic.

The present invention also provides for parts of the plants, particularly reproductive or storage parts, of the present invention. Plant parts, without limitation, include seed, endosperm, ovule and pollen. In a particularly preferred embodiment of the present invention, the plant part is a seed. In one embodiment the seed is a constituent of animal feed. In another embodiment, the plant part is constituent of human diet.

The present invention also provides a container of over 10,000, more preferably 20,000, and even more preferably 40,000 seeds where over 10%, more preferably 25%, more preferably 50% and even more preferably 75% or 90% of the seeds are seeds derived from a plant of the present invention.

The present invention also provides a container of over 10 kg, more preferably 25 kg, and even more preferably 50 kg seeds where over 10%, more preferably 25%, more preferably 50% and even more preferably 75% or 90% of the seeds are seeds derived from a plant of the present invention.

The present invention provides for oil produced from plants of the present invention or generated by a method of the present invention. Such oil may be a minor or major component of any resultant product. Moreover, such oil may be blended with other oils. In a preferred embodiment, the oil produced from plants of the present invention or generated by a method of the present invention constitutes greater than 0.5%, 1%, 5%, 10%, 25%, 50%, 75% or 90% by volume or weight of the oil component of any product. Oil produced from a plant of the present invention can be admixed with one or more organic solvents or petroleum distillates.

Plants of the present invention can be part of or generated from a breeding program. The choice of breeding method depends on the mode of plant reproduction, the heritability of the trait(s) being improved, and the type of cultivar used commercially (*e.g.*,  $F_1$  hybrid

cultivar, pureline cultivar, etc). Selected, non-limiting approaches, for breeding the plants of the present invention are set forth below. A breeding program can be enhanced using marker assisted selection of the progeny of any cross. It is further understood that any commercial and non-commercial cultivars can be utilized in a breeding program. Factors such as, for example, emergence vigor, vegetative vigor, stress tolerance, disease resistance, branching, flowering, seed set, seed size, seed density, standability, and threshability etc. will generally dictate the choice.

For highly heritable traits, a choice of superior individual plants evaluated at a single location will be effective, whereas for traits with low heritability, selection should be based on mean values obtained from replicated evaluations of families of related plants. Popular selection methods commonly include pedigree selection, modified pedigree selection, mass selection, and recurrent selection. In a preferred embodiment a backcross or recurrent breeding program is undertaken.

The complexity of inheritance influences choice of the breeding method. Backcross breeding can be used to transfer one or a few favorable genes for a highly heritable trait into a desirable cultivar. This approach has been used extensively for breeding disease-resistant cultivars. Various recurrent selection techniques are used to improve quantitatively inherited traits controlled by numerous genes. The use of recurrent selection in self-pollinating crops depends on the ease of pollination, the frequency of successful hybrids from each pollination, and the number of hybrid offspring from each successful cross.

Breeding lines can be tested and compared to appropriate standards in environments representative of the commercial target area(s) for two or more generations. The best lines are candidates for new commercial cultivars; those still deficient in traits may be used as parents to produce new populations for further selection.

One method of identifying a superior plant is to observe its performance relative to other experimental plants and to a widely grown standard cultivar. If a single observation is inconclusive, replicated observations can provide a better estimate of its genetic worth. A breeder can select and cross two or more parental lines, followed by repeated selfing and selection, producing many new genetic combinations.



The development of new cultivars requires the development and selection of varieties, the crossing of these varieties and the selection of superior hybrid crosses. The hybrid seed can be produced by manual crosses between selected male-fertile parents or by using male sterility systems. Hybrids are selected for certain single gene traits such as pod color, flower color, seed yield, pubescence color, or herbicide resistance, which indicate that the seed is truly a hybrid. Additional data on parental lines, as well as the phenotype of the hybrid, influence the breeder's decision whether to continue with the specific hybrid cross.

Pedigree breeding and recurrent selection breeding methods can be used to develop cultivars from breeding populations. Breeding programs combine desirable traits from two or more cultivars or various broad-based sources into breeding pools from which cultivars are developed by selfing and selection of desired phenotypes. New cultivars can be evaluated to determine which have commercial potential.

Pedigree breeding is used commonly for the improvement of self-pollinating crops. Two parents who possess favorable, complementary traits are crossed to produce an  $F_1$ . An  $F_2$  population is produced by selfing one or several  $F_1$ 's. Selection of the best individuals from the best families is carried out. Replicated testing of families can begin in the  $F_4$  generation to improve the effectiveness of selection for traits with low heritability. At an advanced stage of inbreeding (*i.e.*,  $F_6$  and  $F_7$ ), the best lines or mixtures of phenotypically similar lines are tested for potential release as new cultivars.

Backcross breeding has been used to transfer genes for a simply inherited, highly heritable trait into a desirable homozygous cultivar or inbred line, which is the recurrent parent. The source of the trait to be transferred is called the donor parent. The resulting plant is expected to have the attributes of the recurrent parent (*e.g.*, cultivar) and the desirable trait transferred from the donor parent. After the initial cross, individuals possessing the phenotype of the donor parent are selected and repeatedly crossed (backcrossed) to the recurrent parent. The resulting parent is expected to have the attributes of the recurrent parent (*e.g.*, cultivar) and the desirable trait transferred from the donor parent.

The single-seed descent procedure in the strict sense refers to planting a segregating population, harvesting a sample of one seed per plant, and using the one-seed sample to plant the next generation. When the population has been advanced from the  $F_2$  to the desired level

of inbreeding, the plants from which lines are derived will each trace to different F<sub>2</sub> individuals. The number of plants in a population declines each generation due to failure of some seeds to germinate or some plants to produce at least one seed. As a result, not all of the F<sub>2</sub> plants originally sampled in the population will be represented by a progeny when generation advance is completed.

In a multiple-seed procedure, breeders commonly harvest one or more pods from each plant in a population and thresh them together to form a bulk. Part of the bulk is used to plant the next generation and part is put in reserve. The procedure has been referred to as modified single-seed descent or the pod-bulk technique.

The multiple-seed procedure has been used to save labor at harvest. It is considerably faster to thresh pods with a machine than to remove one seed from each by hand for the single-seed procedure. The multiple-seed procedure also makes it possible to plant the same number of seed of a population each generation of inbreeding.

Descriptions of other breeding methods that are commonly used for different traits and crops can be found in one of several reference books (*e.g.* Fehr, *Principles of Cultivar Development* Vol. 1, pp. 2-3 (1987)), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

The transgenic plants of the present invention may also be reproduced using apomixis. Apomixis is a genetically controlled method of reproduction in plants where the embryo is formed without union of an egg and a sperm. There are three basic types of apomictic reproduction: 1) apospory where the embryo develops from a chromosomally unreduced egg in an embryo sac derived from the nucellus, 2) diplospory where the embryo develops from an unreduced egg in an embryo sac derived from the megaspore mother cell, and 3) adventitious embryony where the embryo develops directly from a somatic cell. In most forms of apomixis, psuedogamy or fertilization of the polar nuclei to produce endosperm is necessary for seed viability. In apospory, a nurse cultivar can be used as a pollen source for endosperm formation in seeds. The nurse cultivar does not affect the genetics of the aposporous apomictic cultivar since the unreduced egg of the cultivar develops parthenogenetically, but makes possible endosperm production. Apomixis is economically important, especially in transgenic plants, because it causes any genotype, no matter how heterozygous,

to breed true. Thus, with apomictic reproduction, heterozygous transgenic plants can maintain their genetic fidelity throughout repeated life cycles. Methods for the production of apomictic plants are known in the art. See, U.S. Patent No. 5,811,636, which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety.

#### 5 (d) Other Organisms

A nucleic acid of the present invention may be introduced into any cell or organism such as a mammalian cell, mammal, fish cell, fish, bird cell, bird, algae cell, algae, fungal cell, fungi, or bacterial cell. A protein of the present invention may be produced in an appropriate cell or organism. Preferred hosts and transformants include: fungal cells such as

- 10 *Aspergillus*, yeasts, mammals, particularly bovine and porcine, insects, bacteria and algae. Methods to transform such cells or organisms are known in the art (EP 0 238 023; Yelton *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)*, 81:1470-1474 (1984); Malardier *et al.*, *Gene*, 78:147-156 (1989); Becker and Guarente, In: Abelson and Simon (eds.), *Guide to Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology, Methods Enzymol.*, Vol. 194, pp. 182-187, Academic Press, Inc., New
- 15 York; Ito *et al.*, *J. Bacteriology*, 153:163 (1983); Hinnen *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)*, 75:1920 (1978); Bennett and LaSure (eds.), *More Gene Manipulations in Fungi*, Academic Press, CA (1991), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety). Methods to produce proteins of the present invention are also known (Kudla *et al.*, *EMBO*, 9:1355-1364 (1990); Jarai and Buxton, *Current Genetics*, 26:2238-2244 (1994);
- 20 Verdier, *Yeast*, 6:271-297 (1990); MacKenzie *et al.*, *Journal of Gen. Microbiol.*, 139:2295-2307 (1993); Hartl *et al.*, *TIBS*, 19:20-25 (1994); Bergeron *et al.*, *TIBS*, 19:124-128 (1994); Demolder *et al.*, *J. Biotechnology*, 32:179-189 (1994); Craig, *Science*, 260:1902-1903 (1993); Gething and Sambrook, *Nature*, 355:33-45 (1992); Puig and Gilbert, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 269:7764-7771 (1994); Wang and Tsou, *FASEB Journal*, 7:1515-1517 (1993); Robinson *et*
- 25 *al.*, *Bio/Technology*, 1:381-384 (1994); Enderlin and Ogrydziak, *Yeast*, 10:67-79 (1994); Fuller *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)*, 86:1434-1438 (1989); Julius *et al.*, *Cell*, 37:1075-1089 (1984); Julius *et al.*, *Cell*, 32:839-852 (1983), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

(e) **Antibodies**

One aspect of the invention concerns antibodies, single-chain antigen binding molecules, or other proteins that specifically bind to one or more of the protein or peptide molecules of the invention and their homologs, fusions or fragments. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the antibody specifically binds to a protein having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID Nos: 30, 31, 32, or 33. Such antibodies may be used to quantitatively or qualitatively detect the protein or peptide molecules of the invention. As used herein, an antibody or peptide is said to "specifically bind" to a protein or peptide molecule of the invention if such binding is not competitively inhibited by the presence of non-related molecules.

Nucleic acid molecules that encode all or part of the protein of the invention can be expressed, via recombinant means, to yield protein or peptides that can in turn be used to elicit antibodies that are capable of binding the expressed protein or peptide. Such antibodies may be used in immunoassays for that protein. Such protein-encoding molecules, or their fragments may be a "fusion" molecule (*i.e.*, a part of a larger nucleic acid molecule) such that, upon expression, a fusion protein is produced. It is understood that any of the nucleic acid molecules of the invention may be expressed, via recombinant means, to yield proteins or peptides encoded by these nucleic acid molecules.

The antibodies that specifically bind proteins and protein fragments of the invention may be polyclonal or monoclonal and may comprise intact immunoglobulins, or antigen binding portions of immunoglobulins fragments (such as  $(F(ab'))_2$ ), or single-chain immunoglobulins producible, for example, via recombinant means. It is understood that practitioners are familiar with the standard resource materials which describe specific conditions and procedures for the construction, manipulation and isolation of antibodies (*see, for example*, Harlow and Lane, In: *Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

As discussed below, such antibody molecules or their fragments may be used for diagnostic purposes. Where the antibodies are intended for diagnostic purposes, it may be desirable to derivatize them, for example with a ligand group (such as biotin) or a detectable marker group (such as a fluorescent group, a radioisotope or an enzyme).

The ability to produce antibodies that bind the protein or peptide molecules of the invention permits the identification of mimetic compounds derived from those molecules. These mimetic compounds may contain a fragment of the protein or peptide or merely a structurally similar region and nonetheless exhibits an ability to specifically bind to anti-

5 bodies directed against that compound.

### Exemplary Uses

Nucleic acid molecules and fragments thereof of the invention may be employed to obtain other nucleic acid molecules from the same species (nucleic acid molecules from maize may be utilized to obtain other nucleic acid molecules from maize). Such nucleic acid

10 molecules include the nucleic acid molecules that encode the complete coding sequence of a protein and promoters and flanking sequences of such molecules. In addition, such nucleic acid molecules include nucleic acid molecules that encode for other isozymes or gene family members. Such molecules can be readily obtained by using the above-described nucleic acid molecules or fragments thereof to screen cDNA or genomic libraries. Methods for forming

15 such libraries are well known in the art.

Nucleic acid molecules and fragments thereof of the invention may also be employed to obtain nucleic acid homologs. Such homologs include the nucleic acid molecule of other plants or other organisms (*e.g.*, alfalfa, *Arabidopsis*, barley, *Brassica*, broccoli, cabbage, citrus, cotton, garlic, oat, oilseed rape, onion, canola, flax, an ornamental plant, pea, peanut,

20 pepper, potato, rice, rye, sorghum, strawberry, sugarcane, sugarbeet, tomato, wheat, poplar, pine, fir, eucalyptus, apple, lettuce, lentils, grape, banana, tea, turf grasses, sunflower, oil palm, *Phaseolus*, etc.) including the nucleic acid molecules that encode, in whole or in part, protein homologs of other plant species or other organisms, sequences of genetic elements, such as promoters and transcriptional regulatory elements. Particularly preferred plants are

25 selected from the group consisting of maize, canola, soybean, crambe, mustard, castor bean, peanut, sesame, cottonseed, linseed, safflower, oil palm, flax and sunflower.

Such molecules can be readily obtained by using the above-described nucleic acid molecules or fragments thereof to screen cDNA or genomic libraries obtained from such plant species. Methods for forming such libraries are well known in the art. Such homolog

30 molecules may differ in their nucleotide sequences from those found in one or more of SEQ

ID NOs: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof because complete complementarity is not needed for stable hybridization. The nucleic acid molecules of the invention therefore also include molecules that, although capable of specifically hybridizing with the nucleic acid molecules may lack "complete complementarity."

5 Any of a variety of methods may be used to obtain one or more of the above-described nucleic acid molecules (Zamechik *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 83:4143-4146 (1986); Goodchild *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 85:5507-5511 (1988); Wickstrom *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 85:1028-1032 (1988); Holt *et al.*, *Molec. Cell Biol.* 8:963-973 (1988); Gerwitz *et al.*, *Science* 242:1303-1306 (1988); Anfossi *et al.*, *Proc.*  
 10 *Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 86:3379-3383 (1989); Becker *et al.*, *EMBO J.* 8:3685-3691 (1989)). Automated nucleic acid synthesizers may be employed for this purpose. In lieu of such synthesis, the disclosed nucleic acid molecules may be used to define a pair of primers that can be used with the polymerase chain reaction (Mullis *et al.*, *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* 51:263-273 (1986); Erlich *et al.*, European Patent 50,424; European Patent 84,796;  
 15 European Patent 258,017; European Patent 237,362; Mullis, European Patent 201,184; Mullis *et al.*, U.S. Patent 4,683,202; Erlich, U.S. Patent 4,582,788; and Saiki *et al.*, U.S. Patent 4,683,194) to amplify and obtain any desired nucleic acid molecule or fragment.

Promoter sequences and other genetic elements, including but not limited to transcriptional regulatory flanking sequences, associated with one or more of the disclosed nucleic  
 20 acid sequences can also be obtained using the disclosed nucleic acid sequence provided herein. In one embodiment, such sequences are obtained by incubating nucleic acid molecules of the present invention with members of genomic libraries and recovering clones that hybridize to such nucleic acid molecules thereof. In a second embodiment, methods of  
 "chromosome walking," or inverse PCR may be used to obtain such sequences (Frohman *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 85:8998-9002 (1988); Ohara *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*  
 25 *(U.S.A.)* 86:5673-5677 (1989); Pang *et al.*, *Biotechniques* 22:1046-1048 (1977); Huang *et al.*, *Methods Mol. Biol.* 69:89-96 (1997); Huang *et al.*, *Method Mol. Biol.* 67:287-294 (1997); Benkel *et al.*, *Genet. Anal.* 13:123-127 (1996); Hartl *et al.*, *Methods Mol. Biol.* 58:293-301 (1996)). The term "chromosome walking" means a process of extending a genetic map by  
 30 successive hybridization steps.

The nucleic acid molecules of the invention may be used to isolate promoters of cell enhanced, cell specific, tissue enhanced, tissue specific, developmentally or environmentally regulated expression profiles. Isolation and functional analysis of the 5' flanking promoter sequences of these genes from genomic libraries, for example, using genomic screening methods and PCR techniques would result in the isolation of useful promoters and transcriptional regulatory elements. These methods are known to those of skill in the art and have been described (See, for example, Birren *et al.*, *Genome Analysis: Analyzing DNA*, 1, (1997), Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.). Promoters obtained utilizing the nucleic acid molecules of the invention could also be modified to affect their control characteristics. Examples of such modifications would include but are not limited to enhancer sequences. Such genetic elements could be used to enhance gene expression of new and existing traits for crop improvement.

Another subset of the nucleic acid molecules of the invention includes nucleic acid molecules that are markers. The markers can be used in a number of conventional ways in the field of molecular genetics. Such markers include nucleic acid molecules SEQ ID NOs: 1-4, 6-29 or complements thereof or fragments of either that can act as markers and other nucleic acid molecules of the present invention that can act as markers.

Genetic markers of the invention include "dominant" or "codominant" markers. "Codominant markers" reveal the presence of two or more alleles (two per diploid individual) at a locus. "Dominant markers" reveal the presence of only a single allele per locus. The presence of the dominant marker phenotype (e.g., a band of DNA) is an indication that one allele is in either the homozygous or heterozygous condition. The absence of the dominant marker phenotype (e.g., absence of a DNA band) is merely evidence that "some other" undefined allele is present. In the case of populations where individuals are predominantly homozygous and loci are predominately dimorphic, dominant and codominant markers can be equally valuable. As populations become more heterozygous and multi-allelic, codominant markers often become more informative of the genotype than dominant markers. Marker molecules can be, for example, capable of detecting polymorphisms such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).

The genomes of animals and plants naturally undergo spontaneous mutation in the course of their continuing evolution (Gusella, *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* 55:831-854 (1986)). A "polymorphism" is a variation or difference in the sequence of the gene or its flanking regions that arises in some of the members of a species. The variant sequence and the "original" sequence co-exist in the species' population. In some instances, such co-existence is in stable or quasi-stable equilibrium.

A polymorphism is thus said to be "allelic," in that, due to the existence of the polymorphism, some members of a species may have the original sequence (i.e., the original "allele") whereas other members may have the variant sequence (i.e., the variant "allele"). In the simplest case, only one variant sequence may exist and the polymorphism is thus said to be di-allelic. In other cases, the species' population may contain multiple alleles and the polymorphism is termed tri-allelic, etc. A single gene may have multiple different unrelated polymorphisms. For example, it may have a di-allelic polymorphism at one site and a multi-allelic polymorphism at another site.

The variation that defines the polymorphism may range from a single nucleotide variation to the insertion or deletion of extended regions within a gene. In some cases, the DNA sequence variations are in regions of the genome that are characterized by short tandem repeats (STRs) that include tandem di- or tri-nucleotide repeated motifs of nucleotides. Polymorphisms characterized by such tandem repeats are referred to as "variable number tandem repeat" ("VNTR") polymorphisms. VNTRs have been used in identity analysis (Weber, U.S. Patent 5,075,217; Armour *et al.*, *FEBS Lett.* 307:113-115 (1992); Jones *et al.*, *Eur. J. Haematol.* 39:144-147 (1987); Horn *et al.*, PCT Patent Application WO91/14003; Jeffreys, European Patent Application 370,719; Jeffreys, U.S. Patent 5,175,082; Jeffreys *et al.*, *Amer. J. Hum. Genet.* 39:11-24 (1986); Jeffreys *et al.*, *Nature* 316:76-79 (1985); Gray *et al.*, *Proc. R. Acad. Soc. Lond.* 243:241-253 (1991); Moore *et al.*, *Genomics* 10:654-660 (1991); Jeffreys *et al.*, *Anim. Genet.* 18:1-15 (1987); Hillel *et al.*, *Anim. Genet.* 20:145-155 (1989); Hillel *et al.*, *Genet.* 124:783-789 (1990)).

The detection of polymorphic sites in a sample of DNA may be facilitated through the use of nucleic acid amplification methods. Such methods specifically increase the concentration of polynucleotides that span the polymorphic site, or include that site and sequences



located either distal or proximal to it. Such amplified molecules can be readily detected by gel electrophoresis or other means.

In an alternative embodiment, such polymorphisms can be detected through the use of a marker nucleic acid molecule that is physically linked to such polymorphism(s). For this purpose, marker nucleic acid molecules comprising a nucleotide sequence of a polynucleotide located within 1 mb of the polymorphism(s) and more preferably within 100kb of the polymorphism(s) and most preferably within 10kb of the polymorphism(s) can be employed.

The identification of a polymorphism can be determined in a variety of ways. By correlating the presence or absence of it in a plant with the presence or absence of a phenotype, it is possible to predict the phenotype of that plant. If a polymorphism creates or destroys a restriction endonuclease cleavage site, or if it results in the loss or insertion of DNA (e.g., a VNTR polymorphism), it will alter the size or profile of the DNA fragments that are generated by digestion with that restriction endonuclease. As such, organisms that possess a variant sequence can be distinguished from those having the original sequence by restriction fragment analysis. Polymorphisms that can be identified in this manner are termed "restriction fragment length polymorphisms" ("RFLPs") (Glassberg, UK Patent Application 2135774; Skolnick *et al.*, *Cytogen. Cell Genet.* 32:58-67 (1982); Botstein *et al.*, *Ann. J. Hum. Genet.* 32:314-331 (1980); Fischer *et al.*, (PCT Application WO90/13668; Uhlen, PCT Application WO90/11369).

Polymorphisms can also be identified by Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism (SSCP) analysis (Elles, *Methods in Molecular Medicine: Molecular Diagnosis of Genetic Diseases*, Humana Press (1996)); Orita *et al.*, *Genomics* 5:874-879 (1989)). A number of protocols have been described for SSCP including, but not limited to, Lee *et al.*, *Anal. Biochem.* 205:289-293 (1992); Suzuki *et al.*, *Anal. Biochem.* 192:82-84 (1991); Lo *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Research* 20:1005-1009 (1992); Sarkar *et al.*, *Genomics* 13:441-443 (1992). It is understood that one or more of the nucleic acids of the invention, may be utilized as markers or probes to detect polymorphisms by SSCP analysis.

Polymorphisms may also be found using a DNA fingerprinting technique called amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), which is based on the selective PCR amplification of restriction fragments from a total digest of genomic DNA to profile that DNA (Vos

*et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 23:4407-4414 (1995)). This method allows for the specific co-amplification of high numbers of restriction fragments, which can be visualized by PCR without knowledge of the nucleic acid sequence. It is understood that one or more of the nucleic acids of the invention may be utilized as markers or probes to detect polymorphisms by AFLP analysis or for fingerprinting RNA.

Polymorphisms may also be found using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Williams *et al.*, *Nucl. Acids Res.* 18:6531-6535 (1990)) and cleaveable amplified polymorphic sequences (CAPS) (Lyamichev *et al.*, *Science* 260:778-783 (1993)). It is understood that one or more of the nucleic acid molecules of the invention, may be utilized as markers or probes to detect polymorphisms by RAPD or CAPS analysis.

Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) generally occur at greater frequency than other polymorphic markers and are spaced with a greater uniformity throughout a genome than other reported forms of polymorphism. The greater frequency and uniformity of SNPs means that there is greater probability that such a polymorphism will be found near or in a genetic locus of interest than would be the case for other polymorphisms. SNPs are located in protein-coding regions and noncoding regions of a genome. Some of these SNPs may result in defective or variant protein expression (*e.g.*, as a result of mutations or defective splicing). Analysis (genotyping) of characterized SNPs can require only a plus/minus assay rather than a lengthy measurement, permitting easier automation.

SNPs can be characterized using any of a variety of methods. Such methods include the direct or indirect sequencing of the site, the use of restriction enzymes (Botstein *et al.*, *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 32:314-331 (1980), the entirety of which is herein incorporated reference; Konieczny and Ausubel, *Plant J.* 4:403-410 (1993), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), enzymatic and chemical mismatch assays (Myers *et al.*, *Nature* 313:495-498 (1985), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), allele-specific PCR (Newton *et al.*, *Nucl. Acids Res.* 17:2503-2516 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Wu *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86:2757-2760 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), ligase chain reaction (Barany, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88:189-193 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis (Labrune *et al.*, *Am. J. Hum.*

Genet. 48: 1115-1120 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), single base primer extension (Kuppuswamy *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88:1143-1147 (1991), Goelet US 6,004,744; Goelet 5,888,819; all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety), solid-phase ELISA-based oligonucleotide ligation assays (Nikiforov *et al.*, *Nucl. Acids Res.* 22:4167-4175 (1994), dideoxy fingerprinting (Sarkar *et al.*, *Genomics* 13:441-443 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), oligonucleotide fluorescence-quenching assays (Livak *et al.*, *PCR Methods Appl.* 4:357-362 (1995a), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), 5'-nuclease allele-specific hybridization TaqMan™ assay (Livak *et al.*, *Nature Genet.* 9:341-342 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), template-directed dye-terminator incorporation (TDI) assay (Chen and Kwok, *Nucl. Acids Res.* 25:347-353 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), allele-specific molecular beacon assay (Tyagi *et al.*, *Nature Biotech.* 16: 49-53 (1998), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), PinPoint assay (Haff and Smirnov, *Genome Res.* 7: 378-388 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), dCAPS analysis (Neff *et al.*, *Plant J.* 14:387-392 (1998), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), pyrosequencing (Ronaghi *et al.*, *Analytical Biochemistry* 267:65-71 (1999); Ronaghi *et al.* PCT application WO 98/13523; Nyren *et al.* PCT application WO 98/28440, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety; <http://www.pyrosequencing.com>), using mass spectrometry, *e.g.* the Mass-code™ system (Howbert *et al.* WO 99/05319; Howber *et al.* WO 97/27331, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety; <http://www.rapigene.com>; Becker *et al.* PCT application WO 98/26095; Becker *et al.* PCT application; WO 98/12355; Becker *et al.* PCT application WO 97/33000; Monforte *et al.* US 5,965,363, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety), invasive cleavage of oligonucleotide probes (Lyamichev *et al.* *Nature Biotechnology* 17:292-296, herein incorporated by reference in its entirety; <http://www.twt.com>), and using high density oligonucleotide arrays (Hacia *et al.* *Nature Genetics* 22:164-167; herein incorporated by reference in its entirety; <http://www.affymetrix.com>).

Polymorphisms may also be detected using allele-specific oligonucleotides (ASO), which, can be for example, used in combination with hybridization based technology

including southern, northern, and dot blot hybridizations, reverse dot blot hybridizations and hybridizations performed on microarray and related technology.

The stringency of hybridization for polymorphism detection is highly dependent upon a variety of factors, including length of the allele-specific oligonucleotide, sequence composition, degree of complementarity (*i.e.* presence or absence of base mismatches), concentration of salts and other factors such as formamide, and temperature. These factors are important both during the hybridization itself and during subsequent washes performed to remove target polynucleotide that is not specifically hybridized. In practice, the conditions of the final, most stringent wash are most critical. In addition, the amount of target polynucleotide that is able to hybridize to the allele-specific oligonucleotide is also governed by such factors as the concentration of both the ASO and the target polynucleotide, the presence and concentration of factors that act to "tie up" water molecules, so as to effectively concentrate the reagents (*e.g.*, PEG, dextran, dextran sulfate, *etc.*), whether the nucleic acids are immobilized or in solution, and the duration of hybridization and washing steps.

Hybridizations are preferably performed below the melting temperature ( $T_m$ ) of the ASO. The closer the hybridization and/or washing step is to the  $T_m$ , the higher the stringency.  $T_m$  for an oligonucleotide may be approximated, for example, according to the following formula:  $T_m = 81.5 + 16.6 \times (\log_{10}[\text{Na}^+]) + 0.41 \times (\%G+C) - 675/n$ ; where  $[\text{Na}^+]$  is the molar salt concentration of  $\text{Na}^+$  or any other suitable cation and  $n$  = number of bases in the oligonucleotide. Other formulas for approximating  $T_m$  are available and are known to those of ordinary skill in the art.

Stringency is preferably adjusted so as to allow a given ASO to differentially hybridize to a target polynucleotide of the correct allele and a target polynucleotide of the incorrect allele. Preferably, there will be at least a two-fold differential between the signal produced by the ASO hybridizing to a target polynucleotide of the correct allele and the level of the signal produced by the ASO cross-hybridizing to a target polynucleotide of the incorrect allele (*e.g.*, an ASO specific for a mutant allele cross-hybridizing to a wild-type allele). In more preferred embodiments of the present invention, there is at least a five-fold signal differential. In highly preferred embodiments of the present invention, there is at least an order of magnitude signal differential between the ASO hybridizing to a target polynucleotide of

the correct allele and the level of the signal produced by the ASO cross-hybridizing to a target polynucleotide of the incorrect allele.

While certain methods for detecting polymorphisms are described herein, other detection methodologies may be utilized. For example, additional methodologies are known and set forth, in Birren *et al.*, *Genome Analysis*, 4:135-186, *A Laboratory Manual. Mapping Genomes*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY (1999); Maliga *et al.*, *Methods in Plant Molecular Biology. A Laboratory Course Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY (1995); Paterson, *Biotechnology Intelligence Unit: Genome Mapping in Plants*, R.G. Landes Co., Georgetown, TX, and Academic Press, San Diego, CA (1996); *The Maize Handbook*, Freeling and Walbot, eds., Springer-Verlag, New York, NY (1994); *Methods in Molecular Medicine: Molecular Diagnosis of Genetic Diseases*, Elles, ed., Humana Press, Totowa, NJ (1996); Clark, ed., *Plant Molecular Biology: A Laboratory Manual*, Clark, ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany (1997), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Requirements for marker-assisted selection in a plant breeding program are: (1) the marker(s) should co-segregate or be closely linked with the desired trait; (2) an efficient means of screening large populations for the molecular marker(s) should be available; and (3) the screening technique should have high reproducibility across laboratories and preferably be economical to use and be user-friendly.

The genetic linkage of marker molecules can be established by a gene mapping model such as, without limitation, the flanking marker model reported by Lander and Botstein, *Genetics* 121:185-199 (1989) and the interval mapping, based on maximum likelihood methods described by Lander and Botstein, *Genetics* 121:185-199 (1989) and implemented in the software package MAPMAKER/QTL (Lincoln and Lander, *Mapping Genes Controlling Quantitative Traits Using MAPMAKER/QTL*, Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Massachusetts, (1990). Additional software includes Qgene, Version 2.23 (1996), Department of Plant Breeding and Biometry, 266 Emerson Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY). Use of Qgene software is a particularly preferred approach.

A maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) for the presence of a marker is calculated, together with an MLE assuming no QTL effect, to avoid false positives. A  $\log_{10}$  of an odds

ratio (LOD) is then calculated as:  $LOD = \log_{10} (MLE \text{ for the presence of a QTL} / MLE \text{ given no linked QTL})$ .

The LOD score essentially indicates how much more likely the data are to have arisen assuming the presence of a QTL than in its absence. The LOD threshold value for avoiding a false positive with a given confidence, say 95%, depends on the number of markers and the length of the genome. Graphs indicating LOD thresholds are set forth in Lander and Botstein, *Genetics* 121:185-199 (1989) and further described by Arús and Moreno-González, *Plant Breeding*, Hayward *et al.*, (eds.) Chapman & Hall, London, pp. 314-331 (1993).

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention the nucleic acid marker exhibits a LOD score of greater than 2.0, more preferably 2.5, even more preferably greater than 3.0 or 4.0 with the trait or phenotype of interest. In a preferred embodiment, the trait of interest is altered, preferably increased phytosterol levels or compositions.

Additional models can be used. Many modifications and alternative approaches to interval mapping have been reported, including the use non-parametric methods (Kruglyak and Lander, *Genetics* 139:1421-1428 (1995)). Multiple regression methods or models can be also be used, in which the trait is regressed on a large number of markers (Jansen, *Biometrics in Plant Breeding*, van Oijen and Jansen (eds.), Proceedings of the Ninth Meeting of the Eucarpia Section Biometrics in Plant Breeding, The Netherlands, pp. 116-124 (1994); Weber and Wricke, *Advances in Plant Breeding*, Blackwell, Berlin, 16 (1994)). Procedures combining interval mapping with regression analysis, whereby the phenotype is regressed onto a single putative QTL at a given marker interval and at the same time onto a number of markers that serve as 'cofactors,' have been reported by Jansen and Stam, *Genetics* 136:1447-1455 (1994), and Zeng, *Genetics* 136:1457-1468 (1994). Generally, the use of cofactors reduces the bias and sampling error of the estimated QTL positions (Utz and Melchinger, *Biometrics in Plant Breeding*, van Oijen and Jansen (eds.) Proceedings of the Ninth Meeting of the Eucarpia Section Biometrics in Plant Breeding, The Netherlands, pp.195-204 (1994), thereby improving the precision and efficiency of QTL mapping (Zeng, *Genetics* 136:1457-1468 (1994), herein incorporated by reference in its entirety). These models can be extended to multi-environment experiments to analyze genotype-environment interactions (Jansen *et al.*, *Theo. Appl. Genet.* 91:33-37 (1995), herein incorporated by reference in its entirety).

It is understood that one or more of the nucleic acid molecules of the invention may be used as molecular markers. It is also understood that one or more of the protein molecules of the invention may be used as molecular markers.

In a preferred embodiment, the polymorphism is present and screened for in a mapping population, *e.g.* a collection of plants capable of being used with markers such as polymorphic markers to map genetic position of traits. The choice of appropriate mapping population often depends on the type of marker systems employed (Tanksley *et al.*, *J.P. Gustafson and R. Appels* (eds.). Plenum Press, New York, pp. 157-173 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Consideration must be given to the source of parents (adapted vs. exotic) used in the mapping population. Chromosome pairing and recombination rates can be severely disturbed (suppressed) in wide crosses (adapted x exotic) and generally yield greatly reduced linkage distances. Wide crosses will usually provide segregating populations with a relatively large number of polymorphisms when compared to progeny in a narrow cross (adapted x adapted).

An  $F_2$  population is the first generation of selfing (self-pollinating) after the hybrid seed is produced. Usually a single  $F_1$  plant is selfed to generate a population segregating for all the genes in Mendelian (1:2:1) pattern. Maximum genetic information is obtained from a completely classified  $F_2$  population using a codominant marker system (Mather, *Measurement of Linkage in Heredity*: Methuen and Co., (1938), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). In the case of dominant markers, progeny tests (*e.g.*,  $F_3$ ,  $BCF_2$ ) are required to identify the heterozygotes, in order to classify the population. However, this procedure is often prohibitive because of the cost and time involved in progeny testing. Progeny testing of  $F_2$  individuals is often used in map construction where phenotypes do not consistently reflect genotype (*e.g.* disease resistance) or where trait expression is controlled by a QTL. Segregation data from progeny test populations *e.g.*  $F_3$  or  $BCF_2$ ) can be used in map construction. Marker-assisted selection can then be applied to cross progeny based on marker-trait map associations ( $F_2$ ,  $F_3$ ), where linkage groups have not been completely dissociated by recombination events (*i.e.*, maximum disequilibrium).

Recombinant inbred lines (RIL) (genetically related lines; usually  $>F_5$ , developed from continuously selfing  $F_2$  lines towards homozygosity) can be used as a mapping popu-

lation. Information obtained from dominant markers can be maximized by using RIL because all loci are homozygous or nearly so. Under conditions of tight linkage (*i.e.*, about <10% recombination), dominant and co-dominant markers evaluated in RIL populations provide more information per individual than either marker type in backcross populations (Reiter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 89:1477-1481 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). However, as the distance between markers becomes larger (*i.e.*, loci become more independent), the information in RIL populations decreases dramatically when compared to codominant markers.

Backcross populations (*e.g.*, generated from a cross between a successful variety (recurrent parent) and another variety (donor parent) carrying a trait not present in the former) can be utilized as a mapping population. A series of backcrosses to the recurrent parent can be made to recover most of its desirable traits. Thus a population is created consisting of individuals nearly like the recurrent parent but each individual carries varying amounts or mosaic of genomic regions from the donor parent. Backcross populations can be useful for mapping dominant markers if all loci in the recurrent parent are homozygous and the donor and recurrent parent have contrasting polymorphic marker alleles (Reiter *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 89:1477-1481 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Information obtained from backcross populations using either codominant or dominant markers is less than that obtained from F<sub>2</sub> populations because one, rather than two, recombinant gamete is sampled per plant. Backcross populations, however, are more informative (at low marker saturation) when compared to RILs as the distance between linked loci increases in RIL populations (*i.e.* about .15% recombination). Increased recombination can be beneficial for resolution of tight linkages, but may be undesirable in the construction of maps with low marker saturation.

Near-isogenic lines (NIL) (created by many backcrosses to produce a collection of individuals that is nearly identical in genetic composition except for the trait or genomic region under interrogation) can be used as a mapping population. In mapping with NILs, only a portion of the polymorphic loci is expected to map to a selected region.

Bulk segregant analysis (BSA) is a method developed for the rapid identification of linkage between markers and traits of interest (Michelmore *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*



U.S.A. 88:9828-9832 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). In BSA, two bulked DNA samples are drawn from a segregating population originating from a single cross. These bulks contain individuals that are identical for a particular trait (resistant or susceptible to particular disease) or genomic region but arbitrary at unlinked regions (*i.e.* heterozygous). Regions unlinked to the target region will not differ between the bulked samples of many individuals in BSA.

In an aspect of the present invention, one or more of the nucleic molecules of the present invention are used to determine the level (*i.e.*, the concentration of mRNA in a sample, *etc.*) in a plant (preferably maize, canola, soybean, crambe, mustard, castor bean, peanut, sesame, cottonseed, linseed, safflower, oil palm, flax or sunflower) or pattern (*i.e.*, the kinetics of expression, rate of decomposition, stability profile, *etc.*) of the expression of a protein encoded in part or whole by one or more of the nucleic acid molecule of the present invention (collectively, the "Expression Response" of a cell or tissue).

As used herein, the Expression Response manifested by a cell or tissue is said to be "altered" if it differs from the Expression Response of cells or tissues of plants not exhibiting the phenotype. To determine whether a Expression Response is altered, the Expression Response manifested by the cell or tissue of the plant exhibiting the phenotype is compared with that of a similar cell or tissue sample of a plant not exhibiting the phenotype. As will be appreciated, it is not necessary to re-determine the Expression Response of the cell or tissue sample of plants not exhibiting the phenotype each time such a comparison is made; rather, the Expression Response of a particular plant may be compared with previously obtained values of normal plants. As used herein, the phenotype of the organism is any of one or more characteristics of an organism (*e.g.* disease resistance, pest tolerance, environmental tolerance such as tolerance to abiotic stress, male sterility, quality improvement or yield *etc.*). A change in genotype or phenotype may be transient or permanent. Also as used herein, a tissue sample is any sample that comprises more than one cell. In a preferred aspect, a tissue sample comprises cells that share a common characteristic (*e.g.* derived from root, seed, flower, leaf, stem or pollen *etc.*).

In one aspect of the present invention, an evaluation can be conducted to determine whether a particular mRNA molecule is present. One or more of the nucleic acid molecules

of the present invention are utilized to detect the presence or quantity of the mRNA species. Such molecules are then incubated with cell or tissue extracts of a plant under conditions sufficient to permit nucleic acid hybridization. The detection of double-stranded probe-mRNA hybrid molecules is indicative of the presence of the mRNA; the amount of such hybrid formed is proportional to the amount of mRNA. Thus, such probes may be used to ascertain the level and extent of the mRNA production in a plant's cells or tissues. Such nucleic acid hybridization may be conducted under quantitative conditions (thereby providing a numerical value of the amount of the mRNA present). Alternatively, the assay may be conducted as a qualitative assay that indicates either that the mRNA is present, or that its level exceeds a user set, predefined value.

A number of methods can be used to compare the expression response between two or more samples of cells or tissue. These methods include hybridization assays, such as north-erns, RNase protection assays, and *in situ* hybridization. Alternatively, the methods include PCR-type assays. In a preferred method, the expression response is compared by hybridizing nucleic acids from the two or more samples to an array of nucleic acids. The array contains a plurality of suspected sequences known or suspected of being present in the cells or tissue of the samples.

An advantage of *in situ* hybridization over more conventional techniques for the detection of nucleic acids is that it allows an investigator to determine the precise spatial population (Angerer *et al.*, *Dev. Biol.* 101:477-484 (1984); Angerer *et al.*, *Dev. Biol.* 112:157-166 (1985); Dixon *et al.*, *EMBO J.* 10:1317-1324 (1991)). *In situ* hybridization may be used to measure the steady-state level of RNA accumulation (Hardin *et al.*, *J. Mol. Biol.* 202:417-431 (1989)). A number of protocols have been devised for *in situ* hybridization, each with tissue preparation, hybridization and washing conditions (Meyerowitz, *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 5:242-250 (1987); Cox and Goldberg, In: *Plant Molecular Biology: A Practical Approach*, Shaw (ed.), pp. 1-35, IRL Press, Oxford (1988); Raikhel *et al.*, *In situ RNA hybridization in plant tissues*, In: *Plant Molecular Biology Manual*, vol. B9:1-32, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, Belgium (1989)).

*In situ* hybridization also allows for the localization of proteins within a tissue or cell (Wilkinson, *In Situ Hybridization*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1992); Langdale, *In Situ*

*Hybridization In: The Maize Handbook*, Freeling and Walbot (eds.), pp. 165-179, Springer-Verlag, New York (1994)). It is understood that one or more of the molecules of the invention, preferably one or more of the nucleic acid molecules or fragments thereof of the invention or one or more of the antibodies of the invention may be utilized to detect the level or pattern of a protein or mRNA thereof by *in situ* hybridization.

Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization allows the localization of a particular DNA sequence along a chromosome, which is useful, among other uses, for gene mapping, following chromosomes in hybrid lines, or detecting chromosomes with translocations, transversions or deletions. *In situ* hybridization has been used to identify chromosomes in several plant species (Griffor *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 17:101-109 (1991); Gustafson *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 87:1899-1902 (1990); Mukai and Gill, *Genome* 34:448-452 (1991); Schwarzacher and Heslop-Harrison, *Genome* 34:317-323 (1991); Wang *et al.*, *Jpn. J. Genet.* 66:313-316 (1991); Parra and Windle, *Nature Genetics* 5:17-21 (1993)). It is understood that the nucleic acid molecules of the invention may be used as probes or markers to localize sequences along a chromosome.

Another method to localize the expression of a molecule is tissue printing. Tissue printing provides a way to screen, at the same time on the same membrane many tissue sections from different plants or different developmental stages (Yomo and Taylor, *Planta* 112:35-43 (1973); Harris and Chrispeels, *Plant Physiol.* 56:292-299 (1975); Cassab and Varner, *J. Cell. Biol.* 105:2581-2588 (1987); Spruce *et al.*, *Phytochemistry* 26:2901-2903 (1987); Barres *et al.*, *Neuron* 5:527-544 (1990); Reid and Pont-Lezica, *Tissue Printing: Tools for the Study of Anatomy, Histochemistry and Gene Expression*, Academic Press, New York, New York (1992); Reid *et al.*, *Plant Physiol.* 93:160-165 (1990); Ye *et al.*, *Plant J.* 1:175-183 (1991)).

One skilled in the art can refer to general reference texts for detailed descriptions of known techniques discussed herein or equivalent techniques. These texts include *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* Ausubel, *et al.*, eds., John Wiley & Sons, N. Y. (1989), and supplements through September (1998), *Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual*, Sambrook *et al.*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1989), *Genome Analysis: A Laboratory Manual 1: Analyzing DNA*, Birren *et al.*, Cold Spring

Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1997); *Genome Analysis: A Laboratory Manual 2: Detecting Genes*, Birren *et al.*, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1998); *Genome Analysis: A Laboratory Manual 3: Cloning Systems*, Birren *et al.*, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1999); *Genome Analysis: A Laboratory Manual 4: Mapping Genomes*, Birren *et al.*, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1999); *Plant Molecular Biology: A Laboratory Manual*, Clark, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1997), *Methods in Plant Molecular Biology*, Maliga *et al.*, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1995). These texts can, of course, also be referred to in making or using an aspect of the invention. It is understood that any of the agents of the invention can be substantially purified and/or be biologically active and/or recombinant.

Having now generally described the invention, the same will be more readily understood through reference to the following examples which are provided by way of illustration, and are not intended to be limiting of the present invention, unless specified.

#### Example 1

##### Identification of Yeast HES1

The yeast strain LPY9 (MATa, leu2, Ura3, his3) is grown overnight and inoculated into SD+ hul (histidine, uracil, leucine) media. Aliquots of the culture are treated with ketoconazole (an inhibitor of C-14 $\alpha$  demethylase (P450<sub>14DM</sub>) enzyme) at 10ug/ml, 50ug/ml, and 100ug/ml, corresponding to 10ppm, 50ppm, and 100ppm, respectively. A sample of each is collected at 2, 4, and 6 hours after treatment. Control samples treated with DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide-solvent for ketoconazole) but not with ketoconazole are also collected. Total RNA from each sample is collected by conventional methods, such as a Zirconium/Silica bead binding and extraction method. The sequence content of each sample is analyzed and compared by hybridizing each of them to a number of yeast ORF sequences immobilized on a Nylon membrane in an array format.

A similar comparison of a wild type yeast strain and a double mutant strain is made. The double mutant CJ517 (MATa, erg11::URA3, erg3::LEU2, leu2, ura3, his4) [erg11, erg3 double mutant] is compared to LPY9 after growth in both YPD and SD+hul media. Samples are collected at approximately 0, 2, 4, and 6 hours after inoculation.

Using this method, over 600 RNA transcripts levels are shown to be altered. A yeast transcript that encodes HES1 is identified as a transcript that is particularly effected by the addition of ketoconazole (SEQ ID NO: 5)(Table 1).

Table 1\*

Seq. Num.	Clone ID	ALIAS	CJ-4hr/ LP-4hr	K-50/CK	K-100/CK	Gene Description
5	YOR237W	(HES1)	134.648161	1417.6262	1358.1235	Protein implicated in ergosterol biosynthesis, member of the KES1/HES1/OSH1/YKR003W family of oxysterol-binding (OSBP) proteins

- 5 \*Table Headings: Clone ID: A clone ID designation number; Alias: Alternative gene names used in the literature. This information is provided by YPD™, Hodges *et al.* *Nucl. Acids Res.* 27: 69-73 (1999), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; CJ-4hr/LP-4hr: Expression level in the mutant CJ517 as compared with the respective wild type strain LPY9 at 4hr sampling of log phase growth of yeast (ratio of mutant expression level/control expression level). CJ refers to the mutant CJ517 (The mutant is defective in the gene (ERG11) codes for C14 demethylase enzyme in the sterol biosynthetic pathway). LP refers to the respective wild type strain LPY9, used to compare the gene expression profile with the mutant; K-50/CK: Expression level in the wild type yeast LPY9, at 2 hr after treatment with 50micro gram/ml ketoconazole as compared to the wild type LPY9 strain without ketoconazole treatment (ratio of treatment expression level/control expression level). K refers to ketoconazole treatment; K-100/CK: Expression level in the wild type yeast LPY9, at 2 hr after treatment with 100micro gram/ml ketoconazole as compared to the wild type LPY9 strain without ketoconazole treatment (ratio of treatment expression level/control expression level); Gene Description: Description of the clone listed in column 1.

### Example 2

- Sequences that encode for the yeast HES1 protein are used to search databases for homologues from other species. A number of different databases can be used for these searches, including, for example, dbEST, GenBank, EMBL, SwissProt, PIR, and GENES. In addition, various algorithms for searching can be selected, such as, for example, the BLAST suite of programs at the default values. Typically, matches found with BLAST P values

equal or less than 0.001 (probability) or BLAST Score of equal or greater than 90 are classified as hits. If the program is used to determine the hit is HMMSW then the score refers to HMMSW score. The GenBank database is searched with BLASTN and BLASTX (default values) using sequences as series. Sequences that pass the hit probability threshold of  $10e^{-8}$  are considered hits.

Table 2

Seq. Num.	Clone ID	Sequence: DNA/Protein	Hit description	Species
1	701100307CPR9855	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
2	701001443CPR9857	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
3	701010572CPR9854	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
4	701176735CPR9736	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	maize
5	Z75145	DNA	Protein implicated in ergosterol biosynthesis, member of the KES1/HES1/OSH1/YKR003W family of oxysterol-binding (OSBP) proteins	yeast
30	701100307CPR9855	Protein	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
31	701001443CPR9857	Protein	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
32	701010572CPR9854	Protein	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
33	701176735CPR9736	Protein	Yeast HES 1 homolog	maize
34	Z75145	Protein	Protein implicated in ergosterol biosynthesis, member of the KES1/HES1/OSH1/YKR003W family of oxysterol-binding (OSBP) proteins	yeast
6	701003888H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
7	701001351H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
8	700672545H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
9	700664054H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
10	700665644H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
11	700764248H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
12	700851444H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
13	700971910H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
14	700652932H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
15	700982894H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
16	701120140H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
17	701064234H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
18	700954013H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
19	701129375H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
20	701043941H1	DNA	Yeast HES 1 homolog	soybean
21	LIB24-114-Q1-E1-H8	DNA	Arabidopsis HES 1 homolog	<i>A. thaliana</i>
22	LIB22-016-Q1-E1-F3	DNA	Arabidopsis HES 1 homolog	<i>A. thaliana</i>
23	LIB25-101-Q1-E1-F1	DNA	Arabidopsis HES 1 homolog	<i>A. thaliana</i>
24	AA042357	DNA	Arabidopsis HES 1 homolog	<i>A. thaliana</i>
25	AA720163	DNA	Arabidopsis HES 1 homolog	<i>A. thaliana</i>
26	Z29936	DNA	Arabidopsis HES 1 homolog	<i>A. thaliana</i>
27	T76850	DNA	Arabidopsis HES 1 homolog	<i>A. thaliana</i>
28	T76580	DNA	Arabidopsis HES 1 homolog	<i>A. thaliana</i>
29	AA586043	DNA	Arabidopsis HES 1 homolog	<i>A. thaliana</i>